

# ON THE STRUCTURE OF FIXED-POINT SETS OF NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS

Andrzej Wiśnicki

Maria Curie-Skłodowska University, Lublin,  
Poland

*NonStandard Methods and Applications in  
Mathematics*

Pisa, May 25-31, 2006

Banach's Contraction Principle. Let  
 $(M, \rho)$  be a complete metric space and  
 $T : M \rightarrow M$  a contraction:

$$\rho(Tx, Ty) \leq k\rho(x, y)$$

for some  $k < 1$  and every  $x, y \in M$ .

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point:

$$Tx_0 = x_0.$$

**Definition.** A mapping  $T : M \rightarrow M$  is called nonexpansive if

$$\rho(Tx, Ty) \leq \rho(x, y)$$

for every  $x, y \in M$ .

Our standard assumptions:

$C$  - a bounded closed and convex subset of a Banach space  $X$ ,

$T : C \rightarrow C$  - nonexpansive:

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|.$$

Example:  $X = l^1$ ,

$$C = \{(x_n) \in l^1 : x_n \geq 0, \|x\| = 1\},$$

$$Tx = T(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots).$$

Then  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is an isometry without fixed points.

**Definition.** We say that a Banach space  $X$  has the fixed point property (FPP) if every nonexpansive mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  (defined on a closed convex bounded set  $C$ ) has a fixed point:  $Tx = x$ .

The first existence results were obtained by F. Browder, D. Göhde and W. A. Kirk in 1965.

**Problem:**

- Does reflexivity imply FPP?
- Does FPP imply reflexivity?

**Theorem** (Maurey [1981], Dowling, Lennard [1997]). *Let  $X = L_1 [0, 1]$  and  $Y$  be a (closed) subspace of  $X$ . Then*

*$Y$  is reflexive iff  $Y$  has FPP.*

Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be nonexpansive, fix  $x_0 \in C$ , and put

$$T_n x = \frac{1}{n} x_0 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) T x, \quad x \in C.$$

Then  $T_n : C \rightarrow C$  is a contraction and, by Banach's contraction principle, there exists  $x_n \in C$  such that

$$T_n x_n = x_n.$$

Consequently, we obtain the so-called approximate fixed point sequence  $(x_n)$  for  $T$ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T x_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

Question:

- Let  $T, S : C \rightarrow C$  be commuting, nonexpansive mappings:  $T \circ S = S \circ T$ . Does there exist a sequence  $(x_n)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Sx_n - x_n\| = 0?$$

Nonstandard reformulation:

Consider  $*T, *S : *C \rightarrow *C$  and define nonexpansive mappings

$$\hat{T}, \hat{S} : \hat{C} \rightarrow \hat{C}$$

by putting

$$\hat{T}({}^\circ \mathbf{x}) = {}^\circ (*T\mathbf{x}), \quad \hat{S}({}^\circ \mathbf{x}) = {}^\circ (*S\mathbf{x}),$$

where

$$\hat{C} = {}^\circ(*C) = \{{}^\circ \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in *C\}$$

and

$${}^{\circ}\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{y} \in {}^*E : \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_* \approx 0\}$$

denotes the (generalized) standard part of  $\mathbf{x}$ ).

Question:

- Does there exist  $\hat{x} \in \hat{C}$  such that  $\hat{T}\hat{x} = \hat{S}\hat{x} = \hat{x}$  ?

Definition. *Fix T* is said to be a non-expansive retract of  $C$  if there exists a nonexpansive mapping  $r : C \rightarrow \text{Fix } T$  such that

$$rx = x$$

for every  $x \in \text{Fix } T$ .

Theorem ([2003]). Suppose  $T, S : C \rightarrow C$  are commuting nonexpansive mappings and  $\text{Fix } \hat{T}$  is a nonexpansive retract of  $\hat{C}$ . Then there exists  $\hat{x} \in \hat{C}$  such that

$$\hat{T}\hat{x} = \hat{S}\hat{x} = \hat{x}.$$

and, consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Sx_n - x_n\| = 0$$

for some  $(x_n)$ .

Proof sketch: the mixture of Bruck's ideas [1973] and (iterated) nonstandard techniques.

Let  $r : \hat{C} \rightarrow \text{Fix } \hat{T}$  be a nonexpansive retraction onto  $\text{Fix } \hat{T}$ .

- By transfer,  $\widehat{r} : \widehat{\widehat{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\widehat{\text{Fix } T}}$  is a non-expansive retraction in the (double) nonstandard hull  $\widehat{\widehat{X}}$ .

- If  $x \in \text{Fix } \widehat{T}$ , then  $\widehat{T} \circ \widehat{S} x = \widehat{S} \circ \widehat{T} x = \widehat{S} x$  and hence  $\widehat{S}(\text{Fix } \widehat{T}) \subset \text{Fix } \widehat{T}$ .  
By transfer,  $\widehat{\widehat{S}}(\widehat{\widehat{\text{Fix } T}}) \subset \widehat{\widehat{\text{Fix } T}}$ .

- If  $(\widehat{\widehat{S}} \circ \widehat{r}) x = x$ , then  $x \in \widehat{\widehat{\text{Fix } T}}$ ,  $\widehat{r}x = x$ , (since  $\widehat{r}$  is a retraction), and consequently  $(\widehat{\widehat{S}} \circ \widehat{r}) x = \widehat{\widehat{S}}x = x$ . (Bruck's argument).

- Hence

$$\widehat{\widehat{\text{Fix } T}} \cap \widehat{\widehat{\text{Fix } S}} = \widehat{\widehat{\text{Fix } (\widehat{\widehat{S}} \circ \widehat{r})}} \neq \emptyset,$$

(it follows from  $\aleph_1$ -saturation and the existence of an approximate fixed



point sequence:

$$\widehat{\widehat{S}} \circ \widehat{r} : \widehat{\widehat{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\widehat{\text{Fix } T}}$$

is a nonexpansive and neocontinuous mapping defined on a neocompact set  $\widehat{\widehat{C}}$ ).

- But

$$\text{Fix } \widehat{\widehat{T}} \cap \text{Fix } \widehat{\widehat{S}} \supset \widehat{\widehat{\text{Fix } T}} \cap \text{Fix } \widehat{\widehat{S}} \neq \emptyset$$

and consequently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \widehat{\widehat{T}} x_n - x_n \right\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \widehat{\widehat{S}} x_n - x_n \right\| = 0.$$

for some sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $\widehat{\widehat{C}}$ .

- By neocompactness again,

$$\text{Fix } \widehat{\widehat{T}} \cap \text{Fix } \widehat{\widehat{S}} \neq \emptyset.$$

Question:

- If  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is a nonexpansive mapping, is then  $\text{Fix } \hat{T}$  a nonexpansive retract of  $\hat{C}$ ?

(Note that  $\text{Fix } T$  need not be a nonexpansive retract of  $C$  but a mapping  $\hat{T} : \hat{C} \rightarrow \hat{C}$  is much more regular).

Theorem ([2006]). *For any (at most) countable set  $A \subset \text{Fix } \hat{T}$  there exists a nonexpansive mapping  $r : \hat{C} \rightarrow \text{Fix } \hat{T}$  such that  $rx = x$  for  $x \in A$ .*

Proof sketch:

- Fix  $\mathbf{x} \in {}^*C$ ,  $\omega \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ , and consider an (internal) mapping  $\mathbf{T}_x : {}^*C \rightarrow {}^*C$  defined by

$$\mathbf{T}_x z = \frac{1}{\omega} \mathbf{x} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega}\right) {}^*Tz, \quad z \in {}^*C.$$

- By transfer of the Banach Contraction Principle, there exists exactly one point, say,  $\mathbf{F}_\omega \mathbf{x} \in {}^*C$  such that  $\mathbf{T}_x \mathbf{F}_\omega \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{F}_\omega \mathbf{x}$ . This defines a mapping  $\mathbf{F}_\omega : {}^*C \rightarrow {}^*C$  which is \*-nonexpansive. Moreover

$$\mathbf{T}_x \mathbf{F}_\omega \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{F}_\omega \mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{\omega} \mathbf{x} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega}\right) {}^*T \mathbf{F}_\omega \mathbf{x}$$

for  $\mathbf{x} \in {}^*C$ .

- Hence

$$\|{}^*T \mathbf{F}_\omega \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{F}_\omega \mathbf{x}\|_* \leq \frac{1}{\omega} \text{diam} C$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{F}_\omega \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}\|_* \leq (\omega - 1) \|{}^*T \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}\|_*.$$

- Put

$$r_\omega \circ x = \circ (F_\omega x), \quad x \in {}^*C.$$

and notice that  $r_\omega : \widehat{C} \rightarrow \text{Fix}\widehat{T}$  is a well-defined nonexpansive mapping.

- By  $\aleph_1$ -saturation, for any countable set  $A \subset \text{Fix}\widehat{T}$  there exists  $\omega \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$rx = x \quad \text{for } x \in A.$$

(The argument is not very easy in the language of Banach space ultrapowers).

For more details:

A. Wiśnicki, *On fixed-point sets of nonexpansive mappings in nonstandard hulls and Banach space ultrapowers*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, to appear.