Non-Standard Approach to J.F. Colombeau's Non-Linear Theory of Generalized Functions and a Soliton-Like Solution of Hopf's Equation

Guy Berger (bergerguy@yahoo.com) and *Todor D. Todorov (ttodorov@calpoly.edu) Mathematics Department California Polytechnic State University San Luis Obispo, California 93407, USA

Abstract

Let \mathcal{T} stand for the usual topology on \mathbb{R}^d . J.F. Colombeau's nonlinear theory of generalized functions is based on varieties of families of differential commutative rings $\mathcal{G} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mathcal{G}(\Omega)\}_{\Omega \in \mathcal{T}}$ such that: 1) Each \mathcal{G} is a **sheaf** of differential rings (consequently, each $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ has a **support** which is a closed set of Ω). 2) Each $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ is supplied with a chain of sheaf-preserving embeddings $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, where $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is a differential subring of $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ and the space of L. Schwartz's distributions $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ is a differential linear subspace of $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$. 3) The ring of the scalars $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}$ of the family \mathcal{G} (defined as the set of the functions in $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with zero gradient) is a non-Archimedean ring with zero devisors containing a copy of the complex numbers C. Colombeau theory has numerous applications to ordinary and partial differential equations, fluid mechanics, elasticity theory, quantum field theory and more recently to general relativity. The main purpose of our non-standard version of Colombeau' theory is the improvement of the scalars: in our approach the set of scalars is always an algebraically closed non-Archimedean Cantor complete field. This leads to other improvements and simplifications such as reducing the number of quantifiers and possibilities for an axiomatization of the theory. As an application we shall prove the existence of a weak soliton-like solution of Hopf's equation improving a similar result, due to M. Radyna, obtained in the framework of V. Maslov's theory.

MSC: Functional Analysis (46F30); Generalized Solutions of PDE (35D05).

1 J. F. Colombeau's Non-Linear Theory of Generalized Functions

Let \mathcal{T} stand for the usual topology on \mathbb{R}^d . J.F. Colombeau's non-linear theory of generalized functions is based on varieties of families of differential commutative rings:

$$\mathcal{G} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{ \mathcal{G}(\Omega) \}_{\Omega \in \mathcal{T}},$$

such that:

- 1. Each \mathcal{G} is a **sheaf of differential rings** (consequently, each $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ has a **support** which is a closed set of Ω).
- 2. The ring of the scalars of the family \mathcal{G}

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{C}} = \{ f \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \nabla f = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d \},$$

is a non-Archimedean ring with zero devisors containing a copy of the complex numbers \mathbb{C} .

3. Each $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ is supplied with a chain of **sheaf-preserving** embeddings

$$\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}(\Omega),$$

where $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is a **differential subring** of $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ and the space of L. Schwartz's distributions $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ is a **differential linear subspace** of $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$.

4. Colombeau's theory has numerous applications to PDE, elasticity theory, quantum field theory and more recently to general relativity.

- 5. The main purpose of our non-standard version of Colombeau' theory is the improvement of the scalars: in our approach the set of scalars is always an algebraically closed non-archimedean Cantor complete fields.
- 6. The improvement of the properties of the scalars leads to **other simplifications** improvements such as reducing the number of quantifiers and possibilities for an axiomatization of the theory.

Remark 1.1 (A Non-Standard Sheaf) The collection

$$\{^*\mathcal{E}(\Omega)\}_{\Omega\in^*\mathcal{T}},$$

is a sheaf of differential rings on ${}^*\mathbb{R}^d$, but

$$\{^*\mathcal{E}(\Omega)\}_{\Omega\in\mathcal{T}},$$

is not a sheaf on \mathbb{R}^d !!!!!!!!

Example 1.1 (A Counter Example) Let $\varphi \neq 0$ and $\nu \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$.

$$f(x) = {}^*\varphi(x - \nu).$$

However,

$$\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(0,n)=\mathbb{R}_+,$$

and
$$f \upharpoonright (0, n) = f|^*(0, n) = 0$$
 for all n. Yet,

$$f \upharpoonright \mathbb{R}_+ = f|^*\mathbb{R}_+ = f \neq 0.$$

2 Non-Archimedean Hulls

In what follows ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ stands for a non-standard extension of the field of the complex numbers \mathbb{C} . Here is the summary of our non-archimedean hull theory:

1. Let \mathcal{F} be a **convex subring** in ${}^*\mathbb{C}$, i.e. \mathcal{F} is a subring of ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(\forall x \in {}^*\mathbb{C})(\forall y \in \mathcal{F})(|x| \le |y| \Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{F}).$$

We denote by \mathcal{F}_0 the set of all **non-invertible elements** of \mathcal{F} , i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{ x \in \mathcal{F} \mid x = 0 \lor 1/x \notin \mathcal{F} \}.$$

2. We denote by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_0$$

the corresponding **factor ring** and by $q: \mathcal{F} \to \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ the corresponding **quotient mapping**.

If $x \in \mathcal{F}$, we write $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ instead of q(x).

We say that $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a **non-Archimedean hull** whenever $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a non-Archimedean field.

- 3. We $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ by letting $c = \widehat{c}$ for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$.
- 4. Let $\mathcal{F}^d = \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \times \cdots \mathcal{F}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^d = \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \times \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \times \cdots \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ (d times). If $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathcal{F}$, we shall write $\widehat{x} = (\widehat{x}_1, \widehat{x}_2, \dots, \widehat{x}_d) \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$. We denote by $||\cdot||$ the usual Euclidean norm in either \mathcal{F}^d or $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^d$. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, the set

$$\mu_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \{ x + dx \mid x \in X, dx \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^d, ||dx|| \approx 0 \},$$

is the monad of X in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^d$.

5. We define the ring of \mathcal{F} -moderate functions and the ideal of the \mathcal{F} -negligible functions in $^*\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) = \{ f \in {}^{*}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \mid (\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d})(\forall x \in \mu(\Omega)(\partial^{\alpha}f(x) \in \mathcal{F}) \}, \\ \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) = \{ f \in {}^{*}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \mid (\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d})(\forall x \in \mu(\Omega)(\partial^{\alpha}f(x) \in \mathcal{F}_{0}) \},$$

respectively, and we define also the factor ring:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) / \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega).$$

We say that $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$ is a differential ring generated by \mathcal{F} .

If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$, then we denote by $\widehat{f} \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$ the corresponding equivalence class.

Summarizing: For every convex subring \mathcal{F} of ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ there is a unique differential ring of generalized functions:

$$\mathcal{F} \to \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega).$$

6. We define the embedding

$$\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}}(\Omega),$$

by $f \to f$, where f is the **non-standard extension** of f.

7. Let $\Omega, \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{T}$ be two open sets of \mathbb{R}^d such that $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \Omega$. Let $\widehat{f} \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$. We define a **restriction** of \widehat{f} on \mathcal{O} by the formula

$$\widehat{f} \upharpoonright \mathcal{O} = \widehat{f|^*\mathcal{O}},$$

where ${}^*\mathcal{O}$ is the non-standard extension of \mathcal{O} and $f|^*\mathcal{O}$ is the pointwise restriction of f on ${}^*\mathcal{O}$.

8. Let $\widehat{f} \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}}(\Omega)$ and $\widehat{x} \in \mu_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$. We define the **value** of \widehat{f} at \widehat{x} by the formula

$$\widehat{f}(\widehat{x}) = \widehat{f(x)}.$$

We shall use the same notation, \widehat{f} , for the corresponding value-mapping $\widehat{f}: \mu_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) \to \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$.

9. Simplified Notation: We shall sometimes drop \mathcal{F} , as a lower-index, in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$, $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$, $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$, etc. and write simply

$$\mathcal{M}(\Omega), \ \mathcal{N}(\Omega), \ \widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega), \ \mu(\Omega), \ldots,$$

when no confusion could arise.

Theorem 2.1 (Some Basic Results) Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{C}$ be a convex subring of ${}^*\mathbb{C}$. Then:

- 1. \mathcal{F}_0 is a convex maximal ideal in \mathcal{F} .
- 2. $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is an algebraically closed field. Consequently, $\{\pm |x| : x \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}\}$ is a real closed field.
- 3. $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$ is a differential subring of ${}^*\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$ is a differential ideal in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$.
- 4. Let \mathcal{T} stands for the usual topology on \mathbb{R}^d . Then the collection

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}} \stackrel{\textit{def}}{=} \{\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)\}_{\Omega \in \mathcal{T}},$$

is a sheaf of differential rings in the sense that:

$$(\forall \Omega, \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{T}) \left(F \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) \text{ and } \mathcal{O} \subseteq \Omega \text{ implies } F \upharpoonright \mathcal{O} \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{O}) \right).$$

Consequently, every $F \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$ has a support supp(F) which is closed set of Ω (not of Ω !!!!!).

5. Each $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$ is a differential ring of generalized functions with values in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$, i.e.

$$(\forall F \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega))(\forall x \in \mu_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)) \left[F(x) \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \right].$$

6. The ring of scalars of the sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}} \stackrel{def}{=} \{\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)\}_{\Omega \in \mathcal{T}}$ coincides with the field $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$, i.e.

$$\{F \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \nabla F = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d\} = \widehat{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Consequently, each $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$ is a differential algebra over the field $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$.

7. $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ is a differential subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$ over \mathbb{C} under the embedding $f \to {}^*f$. We shall often write this as an inclusion

$$\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega).$$

3 How We Justify Our Hull Construction

We have to prove the following: Let \mathcal{F} be a convex subring of ${}^*\mathbb{C}$. Then

- 1. $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathcal{F}(^*\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq ^*\mathbb{C}$.
- 2. There exists maximal fields $\mathbb{M} \subset \mathcal{F}$ (Zorn Lemma).
- 3. Every maximal field M is an algebraically closed field.
- 4. Let \mathbb{M} be a maximal field. We have the **following** characterization of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}_0 (see the beginning of this section):

(1)
$$\mathcal{F} = \{ x \in \mathcal{F} \mid (\exists \varepsilon \in \mathbb{M}_+) (|x| \le \varepsilon \},\$$

(2)
$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{ x \in \mathcal{F} \mid (\forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{M}_+) (|x| < \varepsilon \}.$$

Consequently, \mathcal{F}_0 is a convex maximal ideal in \mathcal{F} and the factor ring $\widehat{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_0$ is a field.

- 5. The fields \mathbb{M} , $\widehat{\mathbb{M}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ are mutually isomorphic.
- 6. There exists an embedding $\widehat{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{C}$ and a quasi-standard part mapping

$$\widehat{\operatorname{st}}:\mathcal{F} o {}^*\mathbb{C}$$

with range $\widehat{\operatorname{st}}[\mathcal{F}] = \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$.

SEVERAL EXAMPLES:

Example 3.1 (Nothing New) Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(^*\mathbb{C})$. In this case

$$\mathcal{F}_{0} = \mathcal{I}(^{*}\mathbb{C}),$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}} = \mathbb{C},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) = \{ f \in ^{*}\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \mid (\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d})(\forall x \in \mu(\Omega)(\partial^{\alpha}f(x) \in \mathcal{F}(^{*}\mathbb{C})) \},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) = \{ f \in ^{*}\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \mid (\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d})(\forall x \in \mu(\Omega)(\partial^{\alpha}f(x) \in \mathcal{I}(^{*}\mathbb{C})) \}.$$

Consequently, the corresponding hull coincides with the familiar algebra of smooth functions:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{E}(\Omega).$$

The quasi-standard part mapping \widehat{st} coincides with the usual standard part mapping st.

Example 3.2 (Asymptotic Functions) Let ρ be a positive infinitesimal in ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ and let

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{M}_{\rho}(^*\mathbb{C}) = \{x \in ^*\mathbb{C} : |x| \le \rho^{-n} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

is the ring of the ρ -moderate numbers in ${}^*\mathbb{C}$. In this case we have:

$$\mathcal{F}_{0} = \mathcal{N}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathbb{C}) = \{x \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C} : |x| \leq \rho^{n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

$${}^{\rho}\mathbb{C} = \mathcal{M}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathbb{C})/\mathcal{N}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathbb{C}), \text{(A. Robinson's asymptotic numbers)}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{M}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)),$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{N}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)),$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)) = \left\{ f \in ^{*}\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \mid (\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d})(\forall x \in \mu(\Omega)) \left[\partial^{\alpha} f(x) \in \mathcal{M}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathbb{C}) \right] \right\},$$
$$\mathcal{N}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)) = \left\{ f \in ^{*}\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \mid (\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d})(\forall x \in \mu(\Omega)) \left[\partial^{\alpha} f(x) \in \mathcal{N}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathbb{C}) \right] \right\}.$$

The corresponding factor ring

$$^{\rho}\mathcal{E}(\Omega) = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)/\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega),$$

is a differential algebra over the field of asymptotic numbers ${}^{\rho}\mathbb{C}$.

- 1. The field of real asymptotic numbers ${}^{\rho}\mathbb{R}$ was introduce by A. Robinson [74] (see also A. Robinson and A.H. Lightstone [56])
- 2. The functions in ${}^{\rho}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ are called **asymptotic functions** (M. Oberguggenberger and T. Todorov [66]). Here you will find the following result:

Theorem 3.1 (Embedding of Schwartz Distributions in ${}^{\rho}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$)

There exists an embedding $\Sigma_{\Omega} : \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \to {}^{\rho}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ which preserves all linear operations in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ and the multiplication in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, in symbol,

$$\mathcal{E}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \hookrightarrow {}^{\rho}\mathcal{E}(\Omega).$$

Proof: (M. Oberguggenberger and T. Todorov [66])

3. The algebra ${}^{\rho}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ is, in a sense, a non-standard counterpart of a special Colombeau's algebra $\mathcal{G}^s(\Omega)$ (J. F. Colombeau [12]) with the important improvement of the properties of the scalars.

Example 3.3 (Logarithmic Hull) Let ρ be (as before) a positive infinitesimal in ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ and let

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\rho}(^*\mathbb{C}) = \{x \in ^*\mathbb{C} : |x| < 1/\sqrt[n]{\rho} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

is the set of the ρ -finite numbers in ${}^*\mathbb{C}$. In this case we have:

$$\mathcal{F}_{0} = \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathbb{C}) = \{x \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C} : |x| \leq \sqrt[n]{\rho} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

$$\widehat{{}^{\rho}\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{F}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathbb{C})/\mathcal{I}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathbb{C}) \text{ logarithmic field},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{F}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)),$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)),$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)) = \left\{ f \in {^{*}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)} \mid (\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d})(\forall x \in \mu(\Omega)) \left[\partial^{\alpha} f(x) \in \mathcal{F}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathbb{C}) \right] \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)) = \left\{ f \in {^{*}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)} \mid (\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d})(\forall x \in \mu(\Omega)) \left[\partial^{\alpha} f(x) \in \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(^{*}\mathbb{C}) \right] \right\}.$$

For the corresponding algebra of generalized functions

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{F}_{\rho}(^*\mathcal{E}(\Omega))/\mathcal{I}_{\rho}(^*\mathcal{E}(\Omega)),$$

is a algebra over the logarithmic field $\widehat{\rho}\mathbb{C}$. It seems that this algebra of generalized functions is without counterpart in Colombeau's theory.

Example 3.4 (The case $\mathcal{F} = {}^*\mathbb{C}$) Let $\mathcal{F} = {}^*\mathbb{C}$. In this

case

$$\mathcal{F}_{0} = \{0\},$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}} = {}^{*}\mathbb{C},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) = {}^{*}\mathcal{E}(\Omega),$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega) = \{f \in {}^{*}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \mid (\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d})(\forall x \in \mu(\Omega)(\partial^{\alpha}f(x) = 0))\},$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega) = {}^{*}\mathcal{E}(\Omega)/\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega).$$

The differential ring $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ is an algebra over the field ${}^*\mathbb{C}$. The algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ is, in a sense, a non-standard counterpart of Egorov algebra (Yu. V. Egorov [20]-[21]) with (at least) two important improvements:

(a) The ring of the scalars ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ constitutes an algebraically closed saturated field. In contrast, the the scalars of Egorov's algebra are a ring with zero divisors.

(b) We are the following result:

Theorem 3.2 (Embedding of Schwartz Distributions in $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$) There exists an embedding $\sigma_{\Omega} : \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \to \widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ which preserves all linear operations in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ and the multiplication in the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{C}[\Omega]$, in symbol,

$$\mathbb{C}[\Omega] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega).$$

where $\mathbb{C}[\Omega] \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, ..., x_d] \mid \Omega$.

Proof::

- 1. We construct ${}^*\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)}/\mathcal{U}$ and ${}^*\mathcal{E}(\Omega) = \mathcal{E}(\Omega)^{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)}/\mathcal{U}$, where \mathcal{U} is a c^+ -good ultrafilter on the index set $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Here $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $c = \operatorname{card}(\mathbb{R})$.
- 2. The choice of the ultrafilter \mathcal{U} is closely connected with Colombeau's theory: Let

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{B}_0 \supset \mathcal{B}_1 \supset \mathcal{B}_2 \supset \ldots,$$

where

(3)

$$\mathcal{B}_{n} = \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) :$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \varphi(x) \, dx = 1,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} x^{\alpha} \varphi(x) \, dx = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}, \ 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n,$$

$$||x|| \geq 1/n \Rightarrow \varphi(x) = 0,$$

$$1 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\varphi(x)| \, dx < 1 + \frac{1}{n} \}.$$

Lemma 3.1 (Properties of \mathcal{B}_n) $\mathcal{B}_n \neq \emptyset$ for all n.

Proof: (M. Oberguggenberger and T. Todorov [66]).

Lemma 3.2 There exists a c^+ -good ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $c = \operatorname{card}(\mathbb{R})$, such that $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) (\mathcal{B}_n \subset \mathcal{U})$.

Proof: (C. C. Chang and H. Jerome Keisler [8]).

Notation:

- (a) If $(A_{\varphi}) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$, we denote by $\langle A_{\varphi} \rangle \in {}^*\mathbb{C}$ the corresponding non-standard number.
- (b) Similarly, if $(f_{\varphi}) \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)^{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$, we denote $\langle f_{\varphi} \rangle \in {}^*\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$.
- (c) If $\langle f_{\varphi} \rangle \in {}^*\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, we shall often write $\widehat{f_{\varphi}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ instead of the more precise $\widehat{\langle f_{\varphi} \rangle} \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$.

Example 3.5 (Canonical Infinitesimal) $Define(R_{\varphi}) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ by

$$R_{\varphi} = \begin{cases} \sup\{||x|| \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ \varphi(x) \neq 0\}, & \varphi \neq 0, \\ 1, & \varphi = 0. \end{cases}$$

The nonstandard number $\rho = \langle R_{\varphi} \rangle$ is a positive infinitesimal in ${}^*\mathbb{R}$.

Example 3.6 (Non-Standard Delta Function) Let $id: \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the identity function on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, given by $id(\varphi) = \varphi$. Notice that $id \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)^{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$. let $\delta \stackrel{def}{=} \widehat{\varphi} \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$. We shall call the corresponding Here are some of the properties of this function:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta(x) dx = 1,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\delta(x)| dx \approx 1,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta(x) x^{\alpha} dx = 0, |\alpha| \neq 0.$$

Example 3.7 (The Square of δ) For $\delta^2 = \widehat{\varphi^2}$ we have

- (a) $\delta^2(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^d, \ ||x|| \ge \rho.$
- (b) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta^2(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\varphi^2(x)} dx$ is infittely large number in ${}^*\mathbb{R}$.

3. The mapping $T \to \widehat{T} \star \varphi$ from $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies the following commutative diagram:

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{R}^d] \xrightarrow{P \to T_P} \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \\
\xrightarrow{P \to \widehat{P}} \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow_{T_P \to \widehat{T_P \star \varphi}} \\
\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \xrightarrow{id} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where id is the identity mapping and

$$\langle T_P, \tau \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(x)\tau(x) dx, \quad \tau \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

 $T_P \star \varphi = P \star \varphi.$

We have to show that $\widehat{P} = \widehat{P} \star \widehat{\varphi}$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The Taylor formula gives $P(x-t) = P(x) + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^p \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial^{\alpha} P(x)}{\alpha!} t^{\alpha}$, where p is the degree of P. It follows

$$(P \star \varphi)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(x - t)\varphi(t)dt =$$

$$= P(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(t)dt + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^p \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial^{\alpha} P(x)}{\alpha!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} t^{\alpha} \varphi(t)dt = P(x),$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Notice that if $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_n$ for some $n \geq p$, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(t) dt = 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} t^{\alpha} \varphi(t) dt = 0$, $|\alpha| = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{B}_n \subseteq \{ \varphi \mid P \star \varphi = P \},$$

implying $\{\varphi \mid P \star \varphi = P\} \in \mathcal{U}$, as required.

4. If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^d$, we extend the embedding by

$$T \to (*T\widehat{\Pi_{\Omega,\varphi}}) \star \varphi,$$

from $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ to $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$, where $\Pi_{\Omega,\varphi} \in {}^*\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a **cut-off-function**, i.e.

$$\widehat{\Pi_{\Omega,\varphi}}(x)=0, \ \text{ for all } x\in\mu(\Omega).$$

4 Example from Masslov Theory: Weak Solution of Hopf's Equation

Theorem 4.1 (M. Radyna [70]) M. Radyna proves the following result: For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a function $\Theta_n \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ such that the function

$$u(x, t, \varepsilon, n) = u_0 + \frac{A}{\epsilon} \Theta_n \left(\frac{x - vt}{\epsilon} \right),$$

satisfies:

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} [u_t(x, t, \varepsilon, n) + u(x, t, \varepsilon, n) u_x(x, t, \varepsilon, n)] \tau(x) \, dx \right| < \epsilon^n,$$

for every test function $\tau \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all sufficiently small $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$.

We say that the family $u(x, t, \varepsilon, n)$ is a weak solution of order n to Hopf's equation:

(4)
$$u_t(x,t) + u(x,t)u_x(x,t) = 0.$$

in the sense of Masslov approach.

In contrast to M. Radyna's result we have the following result:

Theorem 4.2 Let ρ be a positive infinitesimal in \mathbb{R} and $A, v, u_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}), A > 0$. There exists a function $\Theta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ (not depending on n), with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Theta(x) dx = 1$, such that the function:

$$u(x,t) = u_0 + \frac{A}{\rho} \Theta\left(\frac{x - vt}{\rho}\right),$$

satisfies:

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} [u_t(x,t) + u(x,t)u_x(x,t))\tau(x) \, dx \right| < \rho^n,$$

for every test function $\tau \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ and every finite $t \in {}^*\mathbb{R}_+$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 4.1 Let ρ be a positive infinitesimal in \mathbb{R} . Then:

1. There exists and an asymptotic function

$$U(x,t) = \widehat{u(x,t)} \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

which is a weak solution of Hopf's equation

(5)
$$u_t(x,t) + u(x,t)u_x(x,t) = 0,$$

in the sense that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[U_t(x,t) + U(x,t)U_x(x,t) \right] \tau(x) \, dx = 0,$$

for every test function $\tau \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ and every finite $t \in {}^*\mathbb{R}_+$.

2. We have the formula for the amplitude:

$$A = \frac{2(\widehat{v} - \widehat{u_0})\widehat{\rho}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\Theta}^2(y) dy}.$$

- (a) Infinitesimal amplitude A and finite velocities v, u_0 (small signals);
- (b) Finite (or even infinitely large) amplitude A and infinitetely large velocities v, u_0 (explosion).
- 3. U(x,t) obeys the conservation law in the sense that for all real $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, and every finite $t \in {}^*\mathbb{R}_+$,

(6)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{a}^{b} U(x,t) dx = \frac{1}{2} [U^{2}(a,t) - U^{2}(b,t)],$$

where the equality in (6) is in ${}^{\rho}\mathbb{C}$.

Proof of the Theorem:

Step 1 (Standard Part of the Proof): Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) dx = 1$. We replace $u(x,t) = u_0 + \frac{A}{\rho} * f\left(\frac{x-vt}{\rho}\right)$ in the integral:

$$\int_{*\mathbb{R}} [u_t + uu_x] *\tau(x) dx$$

$$= \frac{(u_0 - v)A}{\rho^2} \int_{*\mathbb{R}} *f'\left(\frac{x - vt}{\rho}\right) *\tau(x) dx + \frac{A^2}{2\rho^2} \int_{*\mathbb{R}} \left(*f^2\left(\frac{x - vt}{\rho}\right)\right)_x *\tau(x) dx$$

Integrating by parts and making the substitution $y = \frac{x-vt}{\rho}$ gives

$$= \int_{*\mathbb{R}} \left[(v - u_0) A^* f(y) - \frac{A^2}{2\rho} f^2(y) \right] \tau'(vt + \rho y) dy =$$

Step 2: By Taylor expansion for $\tau'(vt + \rho y)$, we obtain the asymptotic expansion in the powers of ρ :

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{m} \int_{*\mathbb{R}} \left[(v - u_0) A^* f(y) - \frac{A^2}{2\rho} f^2(y) \right] y^n \frac{*\tau^{(n+1)}(vt)}{n!} \rho^n dy + R_m(\tau)$$

where the **remainder term** is

$$R_m(\tau) = \rho^{m+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[(v - u_0) A * f(y) - \frac{A^2}{2\rho} * f^2(y) \right] \frac{*\tau^{(m+2)}(\eta(y,t))}{(m+1)!} y^{m+1} dy$$

We impose the condition on the coefficients to be zero:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[(v - u_0) A f(y) - \frac{A^2}{2\rho} f^2(y) \right] y^n dy = 0, \qquad 0 \le n \le m,$$

and $|R_m(\tau)| < \rho^{m+k}$ (for some fixed k).

Step 3: When m = 0, we have that:

$$A = \frac{2(v - u_0)\rho}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(y)dy}$$

Replacing this value of A, we have that for every m,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(y)dy\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y)y^ndy\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(y)y^ndy, \ 0 \le n \le m$$

Define

$$S_m = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) x^n dx = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) x^n dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x) dx}, \ 0 \le n \le m \right\}$$

We have $S_m \neq \emptyset$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, by (M. Radyna [70] p. 275).

This is the end of the "standard part of the proof".

Step 4 (Non-Standard Part of the Proof): We define the internal sets:

$$\mathcal{A}_{m} = \{ f \in {}^{*}S_{m} : |\ln \rho|^{-1} \int_{{}^{*}\mathbb{R}} |f(x)x^{n}| < 1/m$$

$$|\ln \rho|^{-1} \int_{{}^{*}\mathbb{R}} |f^{2}(x)x^{n}| dx < 1/m,$$

$$|\ln \rho|^{-1} |f^{(n)}(x)| < 1/m, \ x \in {}^{*}\mathbb{R}, \ |x| \le m, \ 0 \le n \le m \}$$

and observe that $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \supset \dots$ Also $A_m \neq \emptyset$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, $f \in S_m$ implies $f \in A_m$ because the integrals of f is a standard (real) number and

 $|\ln \rho|^{-1}$ is infinitesimal. Thus there exists

$$\Theta \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_m,$$

by the **Saruration Principle**. Notice that (due to the logarithmic term $|\ln \rho|^{-1}$)

$$\int_{*\mathbb{R}} |\Theta(x)x^n| \le |\ln \rho|,$$

$$\int_{*\mathbb{R}} |\Theta^2(x)x^n| dx \le |\ln \rho|,$$

which guarantees the estimation of the residual term $R_m(\tau)$. We have the **formula for the amplitude**:

$$A = \frac{2(v - u_0)\rho}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Theta^2(y) dy}.$$

Remark 4.1 Notice that (due to the logarithmic term $|\ln \rho|^{-1}$)

$$\Theta \in \mathcal{M}_{\rho}(^*\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\rho}(^*\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})),$$

which is important in the factorization in Corollary !!!

References

- [1] J. Arigona and H. A. Biagioni, Intrinsic Definition of the Colombeau Algebra of Generalized Functions, Analysis Mathematica, 17 (1991), p. 75–132.
- [2] N. Aronszajn, Traces of analytic solutions of the heat equation, Colloq. Internat. Astérique 2 et 3, C.N.R.S., Soc. Math. France, 1973, p. 35–68 (§7, Chapter VI).
- [3] B. Banaschewski, On Proving the Existence of Complete Ordered Fields, Math. Monthly, Vol. 105, No. 6, 1998, p. 548-551.
- [4] M. S. Baouendi, Solvability of partial differential equations in the traces of analytic solutions of the heat equation, Amer. J. Math. 97, 1975, p. 983-1005 (§7, Chapter VI).
- [5] Hebe A. Biagioni, A Nonlinear Theory of Generalized Functions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, Vol. 1421, XII, 1990.
- [6] N. Bourbaki, Algebra II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1990.
- [7] H. Bremermann, Distributions, Complex Variables, and Fourier Transforms, Addison-Wesley Publ. Co., Inc., Palo Alto, 1965.
- [8] C. C. Chang and H. Jerome Keisler, Model Theory, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, Vol. 73, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998.
- [9] Chr. Ya. Christov, T. D. Todorov, Asymptotic Numbers: Algebraic Operations with Them, In Serdica, Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes, Vol.2, 1974, p. 87–102.
- [10] J. F. Colombeau, New Generalized Functions and Multiplication of Distributions, North-Holland Math. Studies 84, 1984.
- [11] J. F. Colombeau, New General Existence Result for Partial Differential Equations in the C^{∞} Case, Preprint, Universite de Bordeaux, 1984.
- [12] J. F. Colombeau, Elementary Introduction to New Generalized Functions, North-Holland, Math. Sdudies 113, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [13] J. F. Colombeau, Multiplication of Distributions, Bull.A.M.S. 23, 2, 1990, p. 251–268.

- [14] J. F. Colombeau, Multiplication of Distributions; A Tool in Mathematics, Numerical Engineering and Theoretical Physics, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1532, Springer- Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [15] J. F. Colombeau, A. Heibig, M. Oberguggenberger, Generalized solutions to partial differential equations of evolution type, Preprint of Ecole Normale Superieure de Lyon, France, 1991.
- [16] H. Garth Dales and W. Hugh Woodin, Super-Real Fields: Totally Ordered Fields with Additional Structure, Oxford Science Publications, London Mathematical Monographs-New Series 14, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1996.
- [17] Martin Davis, Applied Nonstandard Analsysis, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1977.
- [18] B. Diarra, *Ultraproduits ultramétriques de corpsvalués*, Ann. Sci. Univ. Clermont II, Sér. Math., Fasc. **22** (1984), pp. 1-37.
- [19] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, *Part I: General Theory*, Interscience Publishers, Inc. New York, 1958.
- [20] Yu. V. Egorov, A contribution to the theory of generalized functions (Russian). Uspekhi Mat Nauk (Moskva) 45, No 5 (1990), 3 40. English transl. in Russian Math. Surveys 45 (1990), p. 1–40.
- [21] Yu. V. Egorov, On generalized functions and linear differential equations Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I, (1990), No 2, p. 92–95. (Russian)
- [22] L. Ehrenpreis, Solutions of some problems of division III, Amer. J. Math., 78 (1956), p. 685.
- [23] P. Erdös, L. Gillman and M. Henriksen, An Isomorphism Theorem for Real-Closed Fields, Annals of Mathematics, Vol. 61, No. 3, May 1955, p. 542–560.
- [24] R. Estrada and R. P. Kanwal, Asymptotic Analysis: A Distributional Approach, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Berlin, 1994.
- [25] B. Fisher and T. Todorov, A Commutative Product with Distribution Vectors, Journal of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Vol. 25, No 2, April 1991, p. 138–151.
- [26] B. Fisher and T. D. Todorov, *Operations with Distribution Vectors*, In Demonstratio Mathematica, Vol. XX, No 3-4, 1987, p. 401–412.

- [27] L. Fuchs, Partially Ordered Abelean Groups, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1963.
- [28] Fernando Q. Gouv, p-Adic Numbers. An Introduction, Springer, 1997.
- [29] M. Grosser, Eva Farkas, M. Kunzinger and R. Steinbauer, On the Foundations of Nonlinear Generalized Functions I and II, Memoirs of the AMS, Vol. 153, Number 729, American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- [30] M. Grosser, M. Kunzinger, M. Oberguggenberger and R. Steinbauer, Geometric Theory of Generalized Functions withy Applications to General Relativity, Vol. 537 of Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001.
- [31] H. Hahn, Über die nichtarchimedischen Grössensysteme, Sitz. K. Akad. Wiss. 116 (1907), p. 601-655.
- [32] L. Hörmander, *Linear partial differential operators*, Grund. Math. Wis., 116 (1963), Springer Verlag.
- [33] R. F. Hoskins, J. Sousa Pinto, Nonstandard Treatments of New Generalised Functions, In: R.S. Pathak (Ed.), "Generalized Functions and Their Applications", Plenum Press, New York, 1993, p. 95-108.
- [34] A.E. Hurd and P.A. Loeb, An Introduction to Nonstandard Real Analysis, Academic Press, 1985.
- [35] W. Ingleton, The hahn-banach theorem for non-archimedean valued fields, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 48 (1952), pp 41-45.
- [36] R. Jin, Better nonstandard universes with applications, in: "Nonstandard Analysis: Theory and Applications" (L. O. Arkeryd, N. J. Cutland, and C. W. Henson, eds.), NATO ASI Series, Series C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, v. 493, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, 1997.
- [37] A. Kaneko, *Introduction to Hyperfunctions*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht 1988.
- [38] I. Kaplansky, Maximal fields with valuations, Duke Math. J. 9 (1942), p. 303-21.
- [39] H. J. Keisler, *Elementary Calculus*, Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, Boston, 1976.

- [40] H. J. Keisler, Foundations of Infinitesimal Calculus, Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, Boston, 1976.
- [41] Eberhard Mayerhofer, *The wave equation on singular space-times*, A Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, 1090 Vienna, Austria.
- [42] Hikosaburo Komatsu, *Ultradistributions. I: Structure theorems and characterization*, J. Fac. Sci., Univ. Tokyo, Sect. I A 20, 25-105 (1973).
- [43] W. Krull, *Allgemeine Bewertungstheorie*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **167** (1932), p. 160-196.
- [44] F. V. Kuhlmann, S. Kuhlmann and S. Shelah, Exponentiation in Power Series Fields, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 125, N.11, 1997, p. 3177– 3183.
- [45] M. Kunzinger and M. Oberguggenberger, Characterization of Colombeau generalized functions by their pointvalues, Math. Nachr., 203, 147-157, 1999.
- [46] S. Lang, Algebra, Addison-Wesley, 1992.
- [47] D. Laugwitz, Eine Einfhrung der δ -Funktionen, Sitzungsber. Bayerische Akad. der Wissenschaften, 4, 41-59 (1959).
- [48] D. Laugwitz, Anwendung unendlich kleiner Zahlen. I. Zur Theorie der Distributionen, J. reine angew. Math. 207, 53-60 (1961).
- [49] D. Laugwitz, Anwendung unendlich kleiner Zahlen. II. Ein Zugang zur Operatorenrechnung von Mikusinski, J. reine angew. Math. 208, 22–34 (1961).
- [50] D. Laugwitz, Eine Nichtarchimedische Erweiterung Angeordneter Körper, Math. Nachrichte **37** (1968), p. 225–236.
- [51] H. Lewy, An example of a smooth linear partial differential equation without solution, Annals of Mathematics, Vol. 66, No. 1, July, 1957.
- [52] Li Bang-He, Non-Standard Analysis and multiplication of disributions, Sci. Sinica, 21 (1978), 561-585.
- [53] T. Levi-Civita, Sugli Infiniti ed Infinitesimi Attuali Quali Elementi Analitici (1892-1893), Opere Mathematiche, vol. 1, Bologna (1954), p. 1–39.

- [54] Li Bang-He, Non-standard analysis and multiplication of distributions,Sci. Sinica 21 (1978), p. 561–585
- [55] T. Lindstrøm, An invitation to nonstandard analysis, in: Nonstandard Analysis and its Applications, N. Cutland (Ed), Cambridge U. Press, 1988, p. 1–105.
- [56] A. H. Lightstone and A. Robinson, Nonarchimedean Fields and Asymptotic Expansions, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [57] W. A. J. Luxemburg, On a class of valuation fields introduced by A. Robinson, Israel J. Math. 25 (1976), p. 189–201.
- [58] S. MacLane, The Universality of Formal Power Series Fields, Bull of AMS, 45, p. 888–890, Theorem 1, p. 889.
- [59] S. MacLane, The uniqueness of the power series representation of certain fields with valuation, Annals of Math. **39** (1938), p. 370-382.
- [60] B. Malgrange, Sur la propagation de la regularite des solutions des equations a coefficients constants, Bull. Math Soc. Sci. Math. Phys. R. P. Roumuanie 3 (1959), p. 433 - 440.
- [61] D. Marker, M. Messmer, A. Pillay, Model Theory of Fields, Lecture Notes in Logic 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1996.
- [62] E. Nelson, Internal Set Theory, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), p. 1165-1193.
- [63] B. H. Neumann, *On Ordered Division Rings*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 66 (1949), p. 202-252.
- [64] M. Oberguggenberger, Products of distributions: nonstandard methods,
 Z. Anal. Anwendungen 7(1988), 347 365. Corrections: ibid. 10(1991),
 263-264.
- [65] M. Oberguggenberger, Multiplication of Distributions and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Pitman Research Notes Math., 259, Longman, Harlow, 1992.
- [66] M. Oberguggenberger and T. Todorov, An Embedding of Schwartz Distributions in the Algebra of Asymptotic Functions, International J. Math. & Math. Sci., Vol. 21, No. 3 (1998), p. 417–428.

- [67] M. Oberguggenberger, Contributions of nonstandard analysis to partial differential equations, in: Developments in Nonstandard Mathematics (Eds. N.J. Cutland, V. Neves, F. Oliveira and J. Sousa-Pinto), Longman Press, Harlow, 1995, pp. 130-150.
- [68] G. G. Pestov, Structure of Ordered Fields, Toms University Press, Tomsk, 1980 (in Russian).
- [69] V. Pestov, On a valuation field invented by A. Robinson and certain structures connected with it, Israel J. Math. **74** (1991), p. 65–79.
- [70] Mikalai Radyna, New Model of Generalized Functions and Its Applications to Hopf Equation, in A. Delcroix, M. Hasler, J.-A. Marti, V. Valmorin (Eds.), Nonlinear Algebraic Analysis and Applications, Proceedings of the International Conference on Generalized Functions (ICGF 2000), Cambridge Scientific Publ., Cottenham 2004, p. 269-288.
- [71] P. Ribenboim, *The Theory of Classical Valuation*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [72] A. M. Robert, A course in p-adic analysis, vol. 198 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [73] A. Robinson, Nonstandard Analysis, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1966.
- [74] A. Robinson, Function theory on some nonarchimedean fields, Amer. Math. Monthly 80 (6), Part II: Papers in the Foundations of Mathematics (1973), p. 87–109.
- [75] A. Robinson, On the Real Closure of a Hardy Field (1972), In Selected Papers of Abraham Robinson, Volume 1: Model Theory and Algebra (Edited by H. J. Keisler), New Haven and London, Yale University Press, 1979.
- [76] A. Robinson, Germs, In Selected Papers of Abraham Robinson, Volume 1: Nonstandard Analysis and Philosophy (Edited by W.A.J. Luxemburg and S. Körner), New Haven and London, Yale University Press, 1979.
- [77] E. E. Rosinger, Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations: Sequential and Weak Solutions, North-Holland, Math. Studies 44, Amsterdam, 1980.

- [78] E. E. Rosinger, Generalized Solutions of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, North-Holland, Math. Studies 146, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [79] E. E Rosinger, Non-linear Partial Differential Equations. An Algebraic View of Generalized Solutions, North-Holland, Math. Studies Vol. 164, Amsterdam 1990.
- [80] S. Salbany and T. Todorov, Nonstandard Analysis in Point-Set Topology, Lecture Notes No. 666, 1998 (52 pages) of Erwing Schrödinger Institute for Mathematical Physics, Vienna (ftp at ftp.esi.ac.at, URL: http://www.esi.ac.at).
- [81] P. Schapira, Une equation aux derivees partielles sans solutions dans l'espace des hyperfonctions, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B 265 (1967), A665-A667. MR 36, #4112.
- [82] C. Schmieden and D. Laugwitz, Eine Erweiterung der Infinitesimalrechnung, Math. Zeitschr., 69, p. 1-39 (1958).
- [83] L. Schwartz, Thorie des Distributions, Hermann, 1966.
- [84] L. Schwartz, Sur l'impossibilit de la multiplication des distributions, C.R.Acad.Sci., Paris 239 (1954), p. 847-848.
- [85] K. D. Stroyan and W. A. J. Luxemburg, Introduction to the Theory of Infinitesimals, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [86] T. D. Todorov, The Products $\delta^2(x)$, $\delta(x) x^{-n}$, $H(x) x^{-n}$ in the Class of the Asymptotic Functions, In Bulg. J. Phys., Vol.12 (1981), 5, p. 465–480.
- [87] T. D. Todorov, Application of Non-Standard Hilbert Space to Quantum Mechanics, In Proceedings of the International Conference on Complex Analysis and Applications, Varna, May 5-11, 1985, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Publ., 1986, p. 689–704.
- [88] T.D. Todorov, Colombeau's new generalized functions and non-standard analysis, In: B. Stankovic, E. Pap, S. Pilipovic, V.S. Vladimirov (editors), "Generalized Functions, Convergence Structures and their Applications", Plenum Press, New York (1988), p. 327–339.
- [89] Todor Todorov, A Nonstandard Delta Function, In Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 110, Number 4, 1990, p. 1143–1144.

- [90] Todor Todorov, Kernels of Schwartz Distributions, In Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 114, No 3, March 1992, p. 817–819.
- [91] Todor Todorov, An Existence Result for a Class of Partial Differential Equations with Smooth Coefficients, In S. Albeverio, W.A.J. Luxemburg, M.P.H. Wolff (Eds.), "Advances in Analysis, Probability and Mathematical Physics; Contributions to Nonstandard Analysis", Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, Vol. 314, 1995, p. 107–121.
- [92] Todor Todorov, An Existence of Solutions for Linear PDE with C^{∞} Coefficients in an Algebra of Generalized Functions, in Transactions of
 the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 348, 2, Feb. 1996, p. 673–689.
- [93] T. Todorov, Pointwise Values and Fundamental Theorem in the Algebra of Asymptotic Functions, in Non-Linear Theory of Generalized Functions (Eds: M. Grosser, Günther Hörmann, M. Kunzinger and M. Oberguggenberger), Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, 401, 1999, p. 369-383, arXiv:math.FA/0601723.
- [94] Todor D. Todorov, Back to Classics: Teaching Limits through Infinitesimals, International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology, 2001, vol. 32, no. 1, p. 1-20.
- [95] Todor Todorov and Robert Wolf, Hahn Field Representation of A. Robinson's Asymptotic Numbers, in Nonlinear Algebraic Analysis and Applications, Proceedings of the ICGF 2000 (Edited by A. Delcroix, M. Hasler, J.-A. Marti, V. Valmorin), 2004 Cambridge Scientific Publishers, p. 357-374, ArXiv:math.AC/0601722.
- [96] Todor D. Todorov, Existence and uniqueness of v-asymptotic expantions and Colombeau's generalized numbers, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Volume 312, Issue 1, 1 December, 2005, p. 261-279, arXiv:math.CA/0601720.
- [97] Francois Treves, On Local Solvability of Linear Partial Differential Equations, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, Vol.76, No 3, May, 1970, p. 552-5.
- [98] V. Vladimirov, Generalized Functions in Mathematical Physics, Mir-Publisher, Moskow, 1979.
- [99] B. L. Van Der Waerden, *Modern Algebra*, Ungar Publishing, New York, third printing, 1964.