

RELATIVE SET THEORY

Karel Hrbacek

Department of Mathematics
The City College of New York

This is a report on a work in progress.
Partial results are in

(1) *Internally iterated ultrapowers*,
in: *Nonstandard Models of Arithmetic and Set Theory*,
ed. by A. Enayat and R. Kossak, Contemporary Math.
361, AMS, Providence, R.I., 2004.

(2) *Stratified analysis?*,
in: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Non
standard Mathematics NSM2004*, Aveiro 2004, 13 pages;
accepted.

Hilbert:

We know sets before we know their elements.

Elementary theory:

We work in **ZFC** extended by a new binary “precedence” predicate \sqsubseteq .

$y \sqsubseteq x$ reads “ y is accessible to x ”.

We also write $y \in \mathbf{v}(x)$ for $y \sqsubseteq x$ and read it “ y is at level x ”.

We postulate: (o) $x \in \mathbf{v}(x)$

(i) $y \in \mathbf{v}(x) \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}(y) \subseteq \mathbf{v}(x)$

(ii) $(\forall x)(\exists n \in \mathbf{N})(\mathbf{v}(x) = \mathbf{v}(n))$

(iii) $(\forall m, n \in \mathbf{N})(m \leq n \Rightarrow m \in \mathbf{v}(n))$

(iv) $(\forall m \in \mathbf{N})(\exists n \in \mathbf{N})(\mathbf{v}(m) \subset \mathbf{v}(n))$

(v) $\mathbf{v}(m) \subset \mathbf{v}(n) \Rightarrow (\exists k)(\mathbf{v}(m) \subset \mathbf{v}(k) \subset \mathbf{v}(n))$.

Transfer Principle. *If $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbf{v}(\alpha) \cap \mathbf{v}(\beta)$ then $\mathcal{P}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \mathbf{v}(\alpha))$ iff $\mathcal{P}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \mathbf{v}(\beta))$.*

The coarsest level containing x_1, \dots, x_n is

$\mathbf{v}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbf{v}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle)$; hence

$\mathcal{P}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \mathbf{v}(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ iff $\mathcal{P}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \mathbf{v}(\alpha))$

provided $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$.

Predicates of the form $\mathcal{P}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \mathbf{v}(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ are called *acceptable*.

(Previously defined acceptable predicates may occur in \mathcal{P} .)

Definition Principle. *If \mathcal{P} is acceptable then $B := \{x \in A : \mathcal{P}(x, A, \bar{p}; \mathbf{v}(x, A, \bar{p}))\}$ is a set and $B \in \mathbf{v}(A, \bar{p})$. Similarly, if \mathcal{P} is acceptable and $(\forall x \in A)(\exists! y)\mathcal{P}(x, y, A, \bar{p}; \mathbf{v}(x, y, A, \bar{p}))$ then $F(x) = y \Leftrightarrow x \in A \wedge \mathcal{P}(x, y, A, \bar{p}; \mathbf{v}(x, y, A, \bar{p}))$ defines a function and $F \in \mathbf{v}(A, \bar{p})$.*

Definition.

- (a) $x \in \mathbf{R}$ is α -limited iff $|x| < n$ for some n in $\mathbf{N} \cap \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$.
- (b) $h \in \mathbf{R}$ is α -infinitesimal iff $h \neq 0$ and $|h| < \frac{1}{n}$ for all n in $\mathbf{N} \cap \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$.
- (c) x is α -infinitely close to y iff $x - y$ is α -infinitesimal or 0. (Notation: $x \approx_\alpha y$.)

Standardization Principle for Real Numbers.

For every α -limited $x \in \mathbf{R}$ there is $r \in \mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$ such that $x \approx_\alpha r$.

This r is unique; we call it the α -shadow of x and denote it $\mathbf{sh}_\alpha(x)$.

Proposition.

(1) If $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ are α -limited then $x + y, x - y, xy$ are α -limited.

(2) If h, k are α -infinitesimal and $x \in \mathbf{R}$ is α -limited then $h + k, h - k, xh$ are α -infinitesimal.

(3) $z \in \mathbf{R}$ is α -infinitesimal iff $\frac{1}{z}$ is α -unlimited.

(4) \approx_α is an equivalence relation.

If $x_1 \approx_\alpha y_1$ and $x_2 \approx_\alpha y_2$ then $x_1 + x_2 \approx_\alpha y_1 + y_2$.

If x_1, x_2 are α -limited then also $x_1 x_2 \approx_\alpha y_1 y_2$.

Proposition. Let $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ be α -limited.

(0) x is α -infinitesimal iff $\mathbf{sh}_\alpha(x) = 0$.

(1) $x \leq y$ implies $\mathbf{sh}_\alpha(x) \leq \mathbf{sh}_\alpha(y)$.

(2) $\mathbf{sh}_\alpha(x + y) = \mathbf{sh}_\alpha(x) + \mathbf{sh}_\alpha(y)$.

(3) $\mathbf{sh}_\alpha(x - y) = \mathbf{sh}_\alpha(x) - \mathbf{sh}_\alpha(y)$.

(4) $\mathbf{sh}_\alpha(xy) = \mathbf{sh}_\alpha(x) \mathbf{sh}_\alpha(y)$.

(5) If y is not α -infinitesimal then $\mathbf{sh}_\alpha\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) = \frac{\mathbf{sh}_\alpha(x)}{\mathbf{sh}_\alpha(y)}$.

Proposition.

- (a) If $x \in \mathbf{R}$ is α -infinitesimal and $\beta \sqsubseteq \alpha$ then x is β -infinitesimal.
- (b) Every α -limited natural number is in $\mathbf{v}(\alpha)$.
- (c) If y is α -infinitesimal then there is an α -infinitesimal x such that y is x -infinitesimal.

Example: CONTINUITY.

Definition. f is *continuous at x* iff
 $y \approx_{\langle f, x \rangle} x$ implies $f(y) \approx_{\langle f, x \rangle} f(x)$.

Equivalently, f is continuous at x iff $y \approx_\alpha x$ implies
 $f(y) \approx_\alpha f(x)$, for *some or all* α such that $f, x \in \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$.

Definition.
 f is *uniformly continuous* iff for all $x, y \in \text{dom } f$,
 $y \approx_f x$ implies $f(y) \approx_f f(x)$.

Let $\vec{s} := \langle s_n : n \in \mathbf{N} \rangle$ be an infinite sequence of reals.
 $r \in \mathbf{R}$ is a *limit* of \vec{s} iff $r = \mathbf{sh}_{\vec{s}}(s_n)$ for all \vec{s} -unlimited n .

Let $\vec{f} := \langle f_n : n \in \mathbf{N} \rangle$ be an infinite sequence of real
valued functions with common domain $A \subseteq \mathbf{R}$.

$f_n \rightarrow f$ *pointwise* iff
for all $x \in A$ and all $\langle \vec{f}, x \rangle$ -unlimited n , $f_n(x) \approx_{\langle \vec{f}, x \rangle} f(x)$.
 $f_n \rightarrow f$ *uniformly* iff
for all x and all \vec{f} -unlimited n , $f_n(x) \approx_{\vec{f}} f(x)$.

Proposition. *The limit of a uniformly convergent
sequence of continuous functions is continuous.*

Proof. Let $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$; we note first that if $\vec{f} \in \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$
then also $f \in \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$, by Definition Principle. For $x, x' \in A$,
 $|f(x') - f(x)| \leq$
 $|f(x') - f_\nu(x')| + |f_\nu(x') - f_\nu(x)| + |f_\nu(x) - f(x)|$.
If $x' \approx_\alpha x$ then $x' \approx_\nu x$ for some α -unlimited ν . Now the
middle term is ν -infinitesimal, by continuity of f_ν , hence
also α -infinitesimal, and the other two are α -infinitesimal
by definition of uniform convergence. So $f(x') \approx_\alpha f(x)$. \square

Proof of equivalence with the standard definition of continuity:

\Rightarrow : Given $\epsilon > 0$ fix α such that $f, x, \epsilon \in \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$. Let δ be α -infinitesimal. If $|y - x| < \delta$ then $y \approx_\alpha x$, so $f(y) \approx_\alpha f(x)$ and hence $|f(y) - f(x)| < \epsilon$.

\Leftarrow : Fix α such that $f, x \in \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$. Let $x' \in \text{dom } f, x' \approx_\alpha x$; we have to show that $f(x') \approx_\alpha f(x)$. Given $\epsilon \in \mathbf{v}(\alpha), \epsilon > 0$, there exists δ such that

(*) $(\forall y \in \text{dom } f)(|y - x| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(y) - f(x)| < \epsilon)$.

We take one such δ and fix β so that $f, x, \epsilon, \delta \in \mathbf{v}(\beta)$. Then there exists $\delta \in \mathbf{v}(\beta)$ such that (*); hence by Transfer, there exists $\delta \in \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$ such that (*). As $|x' - x|$ is α -infinitesimal, we have $|x' - x| < \delta$, hence $|f(x') - f(x)| < \epsilon$. This is true for all $\epsilon \in \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$, proving $f(x') \approx_\alpha f(x)$. \square

Example: DERIVATIVE.**Definition.**

f is *differentiable* at x iff there is an $\langle f, x \rangle$ -standard $L \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h} - L$ is $\langle f, x \rangle$ -infinitesimal, for all $\langle f, x \rangle$ -infinitesimal $h \neq 0$.

If this is the case, $f'(x) := L = \mathbf{sh}_{\langle f, x \rangle} \left(\frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h} \right)$.

Proposition. *If f is differentiable at x then f is continuous at x .*

Proof By definition, for any $\langle f, x \rangle$ -infinitesimal h , $f(x+h) - f(x) = Lh + kh$ where k is $\langle f, x \rangle$ -infinitesimal. This value is $\langle f, x \rangle$ -infinitesimal. \square

Proposition. (l'Hôpital Rule)

If $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} |g(x)| = \infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = d \in \mathbf{R}$ then
 $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = d$.

Proof (after Benninghofen and Richter). We can assume that $a = 0$ (replace x by $x - a$). Fix α so that $f, g, d \in \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$. Let x be α -infinitesimal and y be x -infinitesimal. By Cauchy's Theorem, there is η between x and y (hence, η is α -infinitesimal) such that $\frac{f(y)-f(x)}{g(y)-g(x)} = \frac{f'(\eta)}{g'(\eta)} \approx_{\alpha} d$. Now factor

$$d \approx_{\alpha} \frac{f(y)-f(x)}{g(y)-g(x)} = \frac{f(y)-f(x)}{g(y)} \times \frac{g(y)}{g(y)-g(x)} = \left(\frac{f(y)}{g(y)} - \frac{f(x)}{g(y)} \right) \left(1 - \frac{g(x)}{g(y)} \right)^{-1}$$

and observe that $\frac{f(x)}{g(y)} \approx_{\alpha} 0$, $\frac{g(x)}{g(y)} \approx_{\alpha} 0$.

($\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} |g(x)| = \infty$ implies that for all α -infinitesimal z , $g(z)$ is α -unlimited. By transfer to x -level, for all x -infinitesimal z , $g(z)$ is x -unlimited. As y is x -infinitesimal, $\frac{f(x)}{g(y)}$ and $\frac{g(x)}{g(y)}$ are x -infinitesimal.)

It follows that the first factor is α -infinitely close to $\frac{f(y)}{g(y)}$ and the second to 1. From properties of infinitesimals we conclude that $\frac{f(y)}{g(y)} \approx_{\alpha} d$.

Every α -infinitesimal y is x -infinitesimal for *some* α -infinitesimal x . Hence $\frac{f(y)}{g(y)} \approx_{\alpha} d$ holds for *every* α -infinitesimal y , and we are done. \square

FRIST:

Language: \in, \sqsubseteq (binary).

$\mathbb{S}_\alpha := \mathbf{v}(\alpha) = \{x : x \sqsubseteq \alpha\}$; in particular $\mathbb{S} := \mathbb{S}_0$.
 $x \sqsubseteq_\alpha y \equiv (x \sqsubseteq \alpha \wedge y \sqsubseteq \alpha) \vee x \sqsubseteq y$.

Let φ be any \in - \sqsubseteq -formula; φ^α denotes the formula obtained from φ by replacing each occurrence of \sqsubseteq by \sqsubseteq_α .

Axioms:

ZFC (Separation and Replacement for \in -formulas only).

Stratification: \sqsubseteq is a dense linear preordering with a least element 0 and no greatest element.

Boundedness: $(\forall x)(\exists A \in \mathbb{S}_0)(x \in A)$

Transfer: For any α , $(\forall \bar{x} \in \mathbb{S}_0)(\varphi^0(\bar{x}) \Leftrightarrow \varphi^\alpha(\bar{x}))$.

Standardization:

$(\forall \bar{x})(\forall x \in \mathbb{S}_0)(\exists y \in \mathbb{S}_0)(\forall z \in \mathbb{S}_0)$
 $(z \in y \Leftrightarrow z \in x \wedge \varphi^0(z, x, \bar{x}))$.

Idealization:

For any $0 \sqsubset \alpha$, any $A, B \in \mathbb{S}_0$ and any \bar{x} ,
 $(\forall a \in A^{\text{fin}} \cap \mathbb{S}_0)(\exists x \in B)(\forall y \in a) \varphi^\alpha(x, y, \bar{x})$
 $\Leftrightarrow (\exists x \in B)(\forall y \in A \cap \mathbb{S}_0) \varphi^\alpha(x, y, \bar{x})$.

In these axioms φ can be any \in - \sqsubseteq -formula, not just an \in -formula as usual. 0 can be replaced by any $\beta \sqsubseteq \alpha$:
FRIST is *fully relativized*.

Theorem. **FRIST** is a conservative extension of **ZFC**.
 In fact, **FRIST** has a standard core interpretation in **ZFC**.

Example: LEBESGUE MEASURE on $[0, 1]$.

\mathcal{B} is the algebra generated by all left-closed right-open intervals.

$$l([a, b)) = b - a \text{ for } a < b.$$

$l(b) = \sum_{k=1}^n l(I_k)$ if $b = \bigcup_{k=1}^n I_k \in \mathcal{B}$ and the I_k are mutually disjoint.

Proposition. *Let $X \subseteq [0, 1]$, $X \in \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$, and $\alpha \sqsubset \beta$. X is Lebesgue measurable iff there exist $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $b_1 \subseteq \mathbf{sh}_\beta^{-1}(X) \subseteq b_2$ and $l(b_2) - l(b_1)$ is α -infinitesimal. $\mathbf{sh}_\alpha(l(b_1)) = \mathbf{sh}_\alpha(l(b_2))$ is the Lebesgue measure of X .*

Example: HIGHER DERIVATIVES.

We assume that $f, x \in \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$ and $f'(y)$ exists for all $y \approx_\alpha x$.

If $f''(x) = L$ exists, then $L \approx_\alpha \frac{f(x+2h)-2f(x+h)+f(x)}{h^2}$ holds for all $h \approx_\alpha 0$, $h \neq 0$. However, the converse of this statement is false; existence of $L \in \mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$ with the above property does not imply that $f''(x)$ exists.

Proposition. *Assume that $f, x \in \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$ and $f'(y)$ exists for all $y \approx_\alpha x$. Then $f''(x)$ exists iff there is a $L \in \mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$ such that*

$$L \approx_\alpha \frac{f(x+h_0+h_1)-f(x+h_0)-f(x+h_1)+f(x)}{h_0h_1}$$

for all $h_0 \approx_\alpha 0$, $h_1 \approx_{h_0} 0$, $h_0, h_1 \neq 0$.

If this is the case, $f''(x) = L$.

Proposition. *Assume that $n, f, x \in \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$ and $f^{(n-1)}(y)$ exists for all $y \approx_\alpha x$. Then $f^{(n)}(x)$ exists iff there is $L \in \mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{v}(\alpha)$ such that*

$$L \approx_\alpha \frac{1}{h_0 \dots h_{n-1}} \sum_i (-1)^{i_0 + \dots + i_{n-1}} f(x + h^{i_0} + \dots + h^{i_{n-1}})$$

for all $\langle h_0, \dots, h_{n-1} \rangle$, where $i = \langle i_0, \dots, i_{n-1} \rangle \in \{0, 1\}^n$, $h^{i_k} := h_k$ if $i_k = 0$, $h^{i_k} := 0$ if $i_k = 1$;

$h_0 \approx_\alpha 0$, $h_k \approx_{h_{k-1}} 0$ for $0 < k < n$, and all $h_k \neq 0$.

If this is the case, $f^{(n)}(x) = L$.

This proposition implies existence of “strongly decreasing” sequences of infinitesimals of any finite length n :

$\langle h_0, \dots, h_{n-1} \rangle$ where each h_k is h_{k-1} -infinitesimal.

BST:

Language: \in (binary), **st** (unary).

$$\mathbb{S} := \{ x \mid \mathbf{st} x \}, \quad \mathbb{I} := \{ x \mid x = x \}.$$

If φ is an \in -formula, $\varphi^{\mathbb{S}}$ is the formula obtained from φ by replacing each subformula of the form $(\exists x) \psi$ by $(\exists^{\mathbf{st}} x) \psi$, and each subformula of the form $(\forall x) \psi$ by $(\forall^{\mathbf{st}} x) \psi$.

A^{fin} is the set of all finite subsets of A .

Axioms of BST:

ZFC: $\varphi^{\mathbb{S}}$ where φ is any axiom of ZFC (Separation and Replacement for \in -formulas only).

Boundedness: $(\forall x)(\exists A \in \mathbb{S})(x \in A)$.

Transfer: $(\forall \bar{x} \in \mathbb{S})(\varphi^{\mathbb{S}}(\bar{x}) \Leftrightarrow \varphi(\bar{x}))$
where $\varphi(\bar{x})$ is any \in -formula.

Standardization:

$(\forall \bar{x})(\forall x \in \mathbb{S})(\exists y \in \mathbb{S})(\forall z \in \mathbb{S})$
 $(z \in y \Leftrightarrow z \in x \wedge \varphi(z, x, \bar{x}))$
where $\varphi(z, x, \bar{x})$ is any \in -**st**-formula.

Idealization:

For any $A, B \in \mathbb{S}$ and any \bar{x} ,
 $(\forall a \in A^{\text{fin}} \cap \mathbb{S})(\exists x \in B)(\forall y \in a) \varphi(x, y, \bar{x}) \Leftrightarrow$
 $(\exists x \in B)(\forall y \in A \cap \mathbb{S}) \varphi(x, y, \bar{x})]$
where $\varphi(x, y, \bar{x})$ is any \in -formula.

Theorem (see the book of Kanovei and Reeken).

BST is a conservative extension of **ZFC**.

In fact, **BST** has a standard core interpretation in **ZFC**.

We use letters U, V to denote ultrafilters.

If U is an ultrafilter, $I_U := \bigcup U$.

If $I_U \cap (\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I}) \in U$ then $\pi(U)$ denotes the *projection* of U onto the domain of I_U ; i.e., for $A \subseteq \text{dom } I_U$, $A \in \pi(U) \Leftrightarrow \{\langle a, b \rangle \in I_U \mid a \in A\} \in U$; $\pi(U)$ is an ultrafilter.

For a standard ultrafilter U , $x \mathfrak{M} U$ denotes that $x \in \bigcap (U \cap \mathbb{S})$ (x belongs to the *monad* of U).

Proposition. (Andreev and H.) (*Back and Forth Lemma*)

- (a) $(\forall x)(\forall U \in \mathbb{S})[x \mathfrak{M} U \Rightarrow (\forall y)(\exists V \in \mathbb{S}) (\pi(V) = U \wedge \langle x, y \rangle \mathfrak{M} V)]$
- (b) $(\forall U \in \mathbb{S})(\forall x)[x \mathfrak{M} U \Rightarrow (\forall V \in \mathbb{S})(\pi(V) = U \Rightarrow (\exists y)\langle x, y \rangle \mathfrak{M} V)]$.

Underlying this lemma is the existence of an isomorphism between $(\mathbb{V}^I/U)^\mathbb{S}$, the ultraproduct of the universe modulo U constructed inside \mathbb{S} , and $\mathbb{S}[x] := \{f(x) : f \in \mathbb{S}\}$ for $x \mathfrak{M} U$, given by $f \mapsto f(x)$ (for $f \in \mathbb{S}$, $\text{dom } f = I_U$), and the fact that these isomorphisms “fit together” in a natural way.

Corollary. (*Normal Form Theorem, or Reduction to Σ_2^{st} Formulas.*) *There is an effective procedure that assigns to each \in -st-formula $\varphi(\bar{x})$ an \in -formula $\varphi^m(U)$ so that, for all \bar{x} , $\varphi(\bar{x}) \Leftrightarrow (\exists U \in \mathbb{S})(\langle \bar{x} \rangle \mathfrak{M} U \wedge \varphi^m(U)) \Leftrightarrow (\forall U \in \mathbb{S})(\langle \bar{x} \rangle \mathfrak{M} U \rightarrow \varphi^m(U))$.*

Kanovei and Reeken used Reduction to Σ_2^{st} to prove that Collection for arbitrary \in -st-formulas holds in **BST**.

Corollary. *Any two countable models of **BST** with the same standard core are isomorphic.*

Definition: $U \sim V \Leftrightarrow U \cap V$ is an ultrafilter.

Definition (Stratified ultrafilters over A):

$$\gamma_0 A := A;$$

$\gamma_\xi A := \gamma_{<\xi} A \cup \{U : U \text{ is non-principal over } \gamma_{<\xi} A \text{ and } U \sim V \text{ does not hold for any } V \in \gamma_{<\xi} A\}$.

Definition (FRIST):

Let $x \in A \in \mathbb{S}$. A **standardizer** for x over A is a sequence $\vec{u} := \langle u_i : i \leq \nu \rangle$ where $\nu \in \omega$ and

- i) each u_i is a stratified ultrafilter over A ;
- ii) $u_0 \in \mathbb{S}$, $u_\nu = x$;
- iii) $u_i \sqsubset u_{i+1}$ for $i < \nu$;
- iv) if $u_i \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubset u_{i+1}$ then $u_{i+1} \in \bigcap (u_i \cap \mathbb{S}_\alpha)$.

Theorem. *In the interpretation for **FRIST** constructed in ref. (1), for any $x \in A \in \mathbb{S}$ there is a unique standardizer \vec{u}_A for x over A . The universe $\mathbb{S}[\vec{u}_A]$ is independent of A ; we denote it $\mathbb{S}[[x]]$.*

Definition (FRIST): $x \mathfrak{M} U$ denotes that $U \in \mathbb{S}$ is a stratified ultrafilter over A and there is a standardizer \vec{u}_A for x over A with $u_0 = U$.

Theorem. *The Back and Forth Lemma holds in the interpretation for **FRIST** constructed in ref. (1).*

Corollary. *Any two countable models of **GRIST** = “**FRIST** + **The Back and Forth Lemma**” with the same standard core are isomorphic.*

Corollary. (GRIST) *Collection for \in - \sqsubseteq -formulas fails.*

Repeated ultrapowers:

$\mathbb{V}^I/U \models$ “ $k(U)$ is an ultrafilter over $k(I)$ ”
(k is the canonical embedding of \mathbb{V} into \mathbb{V}^I/U)

Observation:

$[\mathbb{V}^{k(I)}/k(U)]^{\mathbb{V}^I/U}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{V}^{I \times I}/U \otimes U$ where
 $X \in U \otimes U \equiv \{i_0 \in I : \{i_1 \in I : \langle i_0, i_1 \rangle \in X\} \in U\} \in U$.

More generally, let

$$\begin{aligned} \bigotimes_0 U &:= \text{the principal ultrafilter over } \{0\}; \\ \bigotimes_1 U &:= U; \\ \bigotimes_{n+1} U &:= U \otimes (\bigotimes_n U). \end{aligned}$$

For $X \subseteq I^{n+1}$, $X \in \bigotimes_{n+1} U \Leftrightarrow$
 $\{i_0 \in I : \{\langle i_1, \dots, i_n \rangle : \langle i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n \rangle \in X\} \in \bigotimes_n U\} \in U$.

$\varphi : I_2 \rightarrow I_1$ is a *morphism* of U_2 to U_1 iff
 $(\forall X \in U_1)(\varphi^{-1}[X] \in U_2)$.

Every morphism φ induces an elementary embedding
 $\varphi^* : \mathbb{V}^{I_1}/U_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{V}^{I_2}/U_2$ defined by $\varphi^*(f) = f \circ \varphi$.

For $0 \leq \ell \leq n$, $\pi_{\ell,n}$ is the projection of I^n onto I^ℓ :

$$\pi_{\ell,n}(\langle i_0, \dots, i_{n-1} \rangle) = \langle i_0, \dots, i_{\ell-1} \rangle.$$

Then $\pi_{\ell,n} : \bigotimes_n U \rightarrow \bigotimes_\ell U$ is a morphism of ultrafilters, so

$\pi_{\ell,n}^* : \mathbb{V}^{I^\ell}/\bigotimes_\ell U \rightarrow \mathbb{V}^{I^n}/\bigotimes_n U$ is an elem. embedding.

Proposition. (Factoring Lemma)

For $0 \leq \ell \leq n$

$$\mathbb{V}^{I^n}/\bigotimes_n U \cong [\mathbb{V}^{\pi_{0,\ell}^*(I^{n-\ell})}/\bigotimes_{n-\ell} \pi_{0,\ell}^*(U)]^{\mathbb{V}^{I^\ell}/\bigotimes_\ell U}.$$

Iterated ultrapowers:

The system $\langle \pi_{\ell, n}^* : \ell \leq n \in \omega \rangle$ has a direct limit $({}^*\mathbb{V}_\omega^U, =^*, \in^*)$, which elementarily extends each $\mathbb{V}^{I^n} / \bigotimes_n U$.

Iterated ultrapowers (Gaifman and Kunen)

(iteration with finite support):

ω can be replaced by any linear ordering (Λ, \leq) .

Note: If U is NOT countably complete then ${}^*\mathbb{V}_\omega^U$ is NOT isomorphic to $[{}^*\mathbb{V}_{k(\omega \setminus 1)}^{k(U)}]^{V^I/U}$, i.e., the Factoring Lemma for the direct limit fails at stage 1. (*Reason:* $k(\omega)$ is not well-founded and it has cofinality $> \omega$.)

Observation:

Ultrapowers can be repeated into transfinite!

Assume U is over $I = \omega$ and let $U_n := \bigotimes_n U$. Then we can define an ultrafilter W over $I^{<\omega}$ (Rudin-Frolík sum) by:
 $A \in W \Leftrightarrow \{n \in I : \{t \in I^n : \langle n \rangle \frown t \in A\} \in U_n\} \in U$.
 ($\langle n \rangle := \{\langle 0, n \rangle\}$.)

Let $\bar{U} := \langle U_n : n \in \omega \rangle$, $\nu := \langle n : n \in \omega \rangle$.

$\mathbb{V}^I/U \models \text{“}\bar{U} \text{ is an ultrafilter over } k(I)^\nu; \bar{U} = \bigotimes_\nu k(U)\text{”}$.

Factoring Lemma: $\mathbb{V}^{I^{<\omega}}/W \cong [\mathbb{V}^{k(I)^\nu}/\bar{U}]^{V^I/U}$.

*“Iteration with *-finite support”:* **Internally iterated ultrapowers** are obtained by allowing arbitrary transfinite repetitions in the Gaifman-Kunen construction.

In ref. (1), interpretations for **GRIST** in **ZFC** are constructed using internally iterated ultrapowers of \mathbb{V} .

External sets:

Given an ultrapower $\mathbb{V}^I/U = (\mathbb{V}^I, =_U, \in_U)$, one can build a cumulative universe \mathbb{E}_U over this structure and extend $=_U$ and \in_U to it so that this **completed ultrapower** $(\mathbb{E}_U, =_U, \in_U)$ satisfies **ZFC⁻** (**ZFC** minus Regularity).

In the construction of ref.(1) ultrapowers can be replaced by completed ultrapowers.

The last two slides outline the theory of the resulting structure.

RST:

Language: \in (ternary).

$x \in^w y$ reads “ x belongs to y relative to w ”.

It is possible that $x \in^w y$ and $x \notin^{w'} y$,
but we want some stability.

Definition: $x \in y$ iff $(\exists w)(x \in^w y)$

Axioms: $\emptyset, \{x, y\}$ exist.

Definition: x is w -internal iff $(\exists y)(x \in^w y)$.

Notation: $\mathbb{I}_w(x)$.

Definition: y is w -standard iff $y = \emptyset \vee (\exists x)(x \in^w y)$.

Notation: $\mathbb{S}_w(y)$.

Axioms:

$$\mathbb{S}_w(w)$$

$$\mathbb{S}_w(y) \Rightarrow \mathbb{I}_w(y)$$

$$\mathbb{S}_{\{x,y\}}(x), \mathbb{S}_{\{x,y\}}(y), \mathbb{S}_w(x) \wedge \mathbb{S}_w(y) \Rightarrow \mathbb{S}_w(\{x, y\})$$

$$\mathbb{S}_w(x) \Rightarrow (\mathbb{S}_x(z) \Rightarrow \mathbb{S}_w(z))$$

$$\mathbb{I}_w(x) \Rightarrow (\mathbb{I}_x(z) \Rightarrow \mathbb{I}_w(z))$$

$$(\mathbb{I}_w(x) \wedge \mathbb{S}_w(y) \wedge x \in y) \Rightarrow x \in^w y$$

Definition: $x \sqsubseteq_w y$ iff $\mathbb{I}_w(x) \wedge \mathbb{I}_w(y) \wedge \mathbb{S}_{\{y,w\}}(x)$.

Axioms: $\varphi^{(\mathbb{I}_w, \sqsubseteq_w)}$ where φ is any axiom of **GRIST**.

Axiom:

$$(\exists!W)(\forall x, y)(x \sqsubseteq_w y \Leftrightarrow (\mathbb{S}_W(\langle x, y \rangle) \wedge \langle x, y \rangle \in W)).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\exists!A)(\forall x)(\mathbb{S}_w(x) &\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{S}_W(x) \wedge x \in A) && \text{Notation: } A = \mathbb{S}_w. \\ (\exists!B)(\forall x)(\mathbb{I}_w(x) &\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{S}_W(x) \wedge x \in B) && \text{Notation: } B = \mathbb{I}_w. \end{aligned}$$

Note: It is necessary to carefully distinguish between $x \in \mathbb{S}_w$ and $\mathbb{S}_w(x)$. \mathbb{S}_w and \mathbb{I}_w are sets in \mathbb{S}_W .

In **RST** there is no need for classes!

\mathbb{S}_W can serve as the external universe for \mathbb{I}_w . It contains all collections definable in $(\mathbb{I}_w, \sqsubseteq_w)$ and satisfies **ZFC**⁻.

Definition: $\mathbb{I}_w^W(x)$ iff $\mathbb{I}_W(x) \wedge (\exists y)(\mathbb{S}_w(y) \wedge x \in y)$.

Axioms: $(\forall \bar{x})(\mathbb{I}_w(\bar{x}) \Rightarrow (\varphi^{\mathbb{I}_w, \sqsubseteq_w}(\bar{x}) \Leftrightarrow \varphi^{\mathbb{I}_w^W, \sqsubseteq_w \upharpoonright \mathbb{I}_w^W}(\bar{x})))$
where φ is any \in - \sqsubseteq -formula.

Work on a “complete” axiomatization is in progress.