

Iterated Ultrapowers and Automorphisms

Ali Enayat

Pisa, May 2006

Our story begins with:

- *Question* (Häsenjäger): Does PA have a model with a nontrivial automorphism?
- *Answer* (Ehrenfeucht and Mostowski): Yes, indeed given any first order theory T with an infinite model $\mathfrak{M} \models T$, and any linear order \mathbb{L} , there is a model $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{L}}$ of T such that

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{L}) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{L}}).$$

- *Corollaries:*
 - (a) PA , RCF , and ZFC have models with rich automorphism groups.
 - (b) Nonstandard models of analysis with rich automorphism groups exist.

The EM Theorem via Iterated Ultrapowers (1)

- Gaifman saw a radically different proof of the EM Theorem: iterate the ultrapower construction along a prescribed linear order.
- Suppose
 - (a) $\mathfrak{M} = (M, \dots)$ is a structure,
 - (b) \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter over $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, and
 - (c) \mathbb{L} is a linear order.

we wish to describe the \mathbb{L} -iterated ultrapower

$$\mathfrak{M}^* := \prod_{\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{L}} \mathfrak{M}.$$

The EM Theorem via Iterated Ultrapowers, Continued (2)

- A key definition (reminiscent of Fubini):

$$\mathcal{U}^2 := \{X \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2 : \{a \in \mathbb{N} : \overbrace{\{b \in \mathbb{N} : (a, b) \in X\}}^{(X)_a} \in \mathcal{U}\} \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

- More generally, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$:

$$\mathcal{U}^{n+1} := \{X \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n+1} : \{a \in \mathbb{N} : (X)_a \in \mathcal{U}^n\} \in \mathcal{U}\},$$

where

$$(X)_a := \{(b_1, \dots, b_n) : (a, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in X\}$$

The EM Theorem via Iterated Ultrapowers (3)

- Let Υ be the set of terms τ of the form

$$f(l_1, \dots, l_n),$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $f : \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow M$ and

$$(l_1, \dots, l_n) \in [\mathbb{L}]^n.$$

- The universe M^* of \mathfrak{M}^* consists of equivalence classes $\{[\tau] : \tau \in \Upsilon\}$, where the equivalence relation \sim at work is defined as follows: given $f(l_1, \dots, l_r)$ and $g(l'_1, \dots, l'_s)$ from Υ , first suppose that

$$(l_1, \dots, l_r, l'_1, \dots, l'_s) \in [\mathbb{L}]^{r+s};$$

let $p := r + s$, and define: $f(l_1, \dots, l_r) \sim g(l'_1, \dots, l'_s)$ iff:

$$\{(i_1, \dots, i_p) \in \mathbb{N}^p : f(i_1, \dots, i_r) = g(i_{r+1}, \dots, i_p)\} \in \mathcal{U}^p.$$

The EM Theorem via Iterated Ultrapowers (4)

More generally:

- Given $f(l_1, \dots, l_r)$ and $g(l'_1, \dots, l'_s)$ from Υ , let

$$P := \{l_1, \dots, l_r\} \cup \{l'_1, \dots, l'_s\}, \quad p := |P|,$$

and relabel the elements of P in increasing order as $\bar{l}_1 < \dots < \bar{l}_p$. This relabelling gives rise to increasing sequences (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r) and (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s) of indices between 1 and p such that

$$l_1 = \bar{l}_{j_1}, l_2 = \bar{l}_{j_2}, \dots, l_r = \bar{l}_{j_r}$$

and

$$l'_1 = \bar{l}_{k_1}, l'_2 = \bar{l}_{k_2}, \dots, l'_s = \bar{l}_{k_s}.$$

Then define: $f(l_1, \dots, l_r) \sim g(l'_1, \dots, l'_s)$ iff

$$\{(i_1, \dots, i_p) \in \mathbb{N}^p : f(i_{j_1}, \dots, i_{j_r}) = g(i_{k_1}, \dots, i_{k_s})\} \in \mathcal{U}^p.$$

The EM Theorem via Iterated Ultrapowers (5)

- We can also use the previous relabelling to define the operations and relations of \mathfrak{M}^* as follows, e.g.,

$$[f(l_1, \dots, l_r)] \odot^{\mathfrak{M}^*} [g(l'_1, \dots, l'_s)] := [v(\bar{l}_1, \dots, \bar{l}_p)]$$

where $v : \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow M$ by

$$v(i_1, \dots, i_p) := f(i_{j_1}, \dots, i_{j_r}) \odot^{\mathfrak{M}} g(i_{k_1}, \dots, i_{k_s});$$

$[f(l_1, \dots, l_r)] \triangleleft^{\mathfrak{M}^*} [g(l'_1, \dots, l'_s)]$ iff

$$\{(i_1, \dots, i_p) \in \mathbb{N}^p : f(i_{j_1}, \dots, i_{j_r}) \triangleleft^{\mathfrak{M}} g(i_{k_1}, \dots, i_{k_s})\} \in \mathcal{U}^p.$$

The EM Theorem via Iterated Ultrapowers (6)

- For $m \in M$, let c_m be the constant m -function on \mathbb{N} , i.e., $c_m : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{m\}$. For any $l \in \mathbb{L}$, we can identify the element $[c_m(l)]$ with m .
- We shall also identify $[id(l)]$ with l , where $id : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is the identity function (WLOG $\mathbb{N} \subseteq M$).
- Therefore $M \cup \mathbb{L}$ can be viewed as a subset of M^* .

- *Theorem.* For every formula $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, and every $(l_1, \dots, l_n) \in [\mathbb{L}]^n$:

$$\mathfrak{M}^* \models \varphi(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n) \iff$$

$$\{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n : \mathfrak{M} \models \varphi(i_1, \dots, i_n)\} \in \mathcal{U}^n.$$

The EM Theorem via Iterated Ultrapowers (7)

- *Corollary 1.* $\mathfrak{M} \prec \mathfrak{M}^*$, and \mathbb{L} is a set of order indiscernibles in \mathfrak{M}^* .
- *Corollary 2.* Every automorphism j of \mathbb{L} lifts to an automorphism \hat{j} of \mathfrak{M}^* via

$$\hat{j}([f(l_1, \dots, l_n)]) = [f(j(l_1), \dots, j(l_n))].$$

Moreover, the map

$$j \mapsto \hat{j}$$

is a *group embedding* of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{L})$ into $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{M}^*)$.

Skolem-Gaifman Ultrapowers (1)

- If \mathfrak{M} has definable Skolem functions, then we can form the *Skolem ultrapower*

$$\prod_{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}} \mathfrak{M}$$

as follows:

- (a) Suppose \mathcal{B} is the Boolean algebra of parametrically definable subsets of M , and \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter over \mathcal{B} .
- (b) Let \mathcal{F} be the family of functions from M into M that are parametrically definable in \mathfrak{M} .
- (c) The universe of the \mathfrak{M}^* is

$$\{[f] : f \in \mathcal{F}\},$$

where

$$f \sim g \iff \{m \in M : f(m) = g(m)\} \in \mathcal{U}$$

Skolem-Gaifman Ultrapowers (2)

- *Theorem* (MacDowell-Specker) Every model of PA has an elementary end extension.

Proof: for an appropriate choice of \mathcal{U} ,

$$\mathfrak{M} \prec_e \prod_{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}} \mathfrak{M}.$$

- For models of some Skolemized theories, such as PA , the process of ultrapower formation can be iterated along any linear order.
- For each parametrically definable $X \subseteq M$, and $m \in M$,

$$(X)_m = \{x \in M : \langle m, x \rangle \in X\}.$$

- \mathcal{U} is an *iterable* ultrafilter over \mathcal{B} if for every definable $X \subseteq M$, $\{m \in M : (X)_m \in \mathcal{U}\}$.

Skolem-Gaifman Ultrapowers (3)

- Theorem (Gaifman) If \mathcal{U} is iterable, and \mathbb{L} is a linear order, then

$$\mathfrak{M} \prec_{e,cons} \prod_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U},\mathbb{L}} \mathfrak{M}.$$

- *Theorem* (Gaifman). For an appropriate choice of iterable \mathcal{U} ,

(a) $Aut(\prod_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U},\mathbb{L}} \mathfrak{M}; M) \cong Aut(\mathbb{L}).$

- (b) $\prod_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U},\mathbb{L}} \mathfrak{M}$ has an automorphism j such that

$$fix(j) = M.$$

- *Theorem* (Schmerl). Suppose $G \leq Aut(\mathbb{L})$ for some linear order \mathbb{L} .

(a) $G \cong Aut(\mathfrak{M})$ for some $\mathfrak{M} \models PA.$

(b) $G \cong Aut(\mathbb{F})$ for some ordered field $\mathbb{F}.$

Automorphisms of Countable Recursively Saturated Models of PA (1)

- A cut I of $\mathfrak{M} \models PA$ is an initial segment of M with no last element.
- For a cut I of \mathfrak{M} , $SSy_I(\mathfrak{M})$ is the collection of sets of the form $X \cap I$, where X is parametrically definable in \mathfrak{M} .
- I is strong in \mathfrak{M} iff $(\mathbf{I}, SSy_I(\mathfrak{M})) \models ACA_0$.
- \mathfrak{M} is *recursively saturated* if for every $\mathbf{m} \in M$, every recursive finitely realizable type over $(\mathfrak{M}, \mathbf{m})$ is realized in \mathfrak{M} .
- For $j \in Aut(\mathfrak{M})$,

$$I_{fix}(j) := \{x \in dom(j) : \forall y \leq x \ j(y) = y\},$$

$$fix(j) := \{x \in M : j(x) = x\}$$

Automorphisms of Countable Recursively Saturated Models of PA (2)

Suppose $\mathfrak{M} \models PA$ is ctble, rec. sat., and I is a cut of \mathfrak{M} .

- *Theorem* (Smoryński) $I = I_{fix}(j)$ for some $j \in Aut(\mathfrak{M})$ iff I is closed under exponentiation.
- *Theorem* (Kaye-Kossak-Kotlarski) $I = fix(j)$ for some $j \in Aut(\mathfrak{M})$ iff I is a strong elementary submodel of \mathfrak{M} .

Automorphisms of Countable Recursively Saturated Models of PA (3)

- *Theorem* (Kaye-Kossak-Kotlarski)

$\overbrace{\mathfrak{M} \text{ is arithmetically saturated}}^{\text{Nisstrong in } \mathfrak{M}}$ iff for some $j \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{M})$,

$\overbrace{\text{fix}(j) \text{ is the collection of definable elements of } \mathfrak{M}}^{j \text{ is maximal}}$.

- *Theorem* (Schmerl) $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}) \leftrightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{M})$.

Automorphisms of Countable Recursively Saturated Models of PA (4)

- *Theorem (E)*. If I is a closed under exponentiation, then there is a group embedding

$$j \mapsto \hat{j}$$

from $Aut(\mathbb{Q})$ into $Aut(\mathfrak{M})$ such that:

- (a) $I_{fix}(\hat{j}) = I$ for every nontrivial $j \in Aut(\mathbb{Q})$;
 - (b) $fix(\hat{j}) \cong \mathfrak{M}$ for every fixed point free $j \in Aut(\mathbb{Q})$.
- *Idea of the proof*: Fix $c \in M \setminus I$, let $\bar{c} := \{x \in M : x < c\}$, $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{c})$, and \mathcal{F} be the family of functions from $(c)^n \rightarrow M$ that are coded in \mathfrak{M} . For an appropriate choice of \mathcal{U} ,

$$\mathfrak{M} \cong \prod_{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}, \mathbb{Q}} \mathfrak{M}_{over I}.$$

This sort of iteration was implicitly considered by Mills and Paris.

Automorphisms of Countable Recursively Saturated Models of PA (5)

- A new type of iteration that subsumes both Gaifman and Paris-Mills iteration: starting with

$$\boxed{I \subseteq_e \mathfrak{M} \preceq \mathfrak{N}, \text{ with } I \subseteq_{strong} \mathfrak{N},}$$

- (a) $\mathcal{F} = \{f \upharpoonright I^n : f \text{ par. definable in } \mathfrak{N}\};$
- (b) $\mathcal{B} := SSy_I(\mathfrak{N});$
- (c) \mathcal{U} an appropriate ultrafilter over \mathcal{B} .

- *Theorem (E)*. Suppose \mathfrak{M} is arithmetically saturated. There is a group embedding

$$j \mapsto \hat{j}$$

from $Aut(\mathbb{Q})$ into $Aut(\mathfrak{M})$ such that \hat{j} is maximal for every fixed point free $j \in Aut(\mathbb{Q})$.

Automorphisms of Countable Recursively Saturated Models of PA (6)

- *Conjecture* (Schmerl). Suppose \mathfrak{M} is arithmetically saturated, and $\mathfrak{M}_0 \prec \mathfrak{M}$. Then $\text{fix}(j) \cong \mathfrak{M}_0$ for some $j \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{M})$.
- *Theorem* (Kossak) Every countable model of PA is isomorphic to some $\text{fix}(j)$, for some $j \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{M})$, and some countable arithmetically saturated model \mathfrak{M} .
- *Theorem* (Kossak) The cardinality of

$$\{ \text{fix}(j) : j \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{M}) \} / \cong$$

is either 2^{\aleph_0} or 1, depending on whether \mathfrak{M} is arithmetically saturated or not.

- *Theorem* (E). Suppose $\mathfrak{M}_0 \prec \mathfrak{M}$, and \mathfrak{M} is arithmetically saturated. There are $\mathfrak{M}_1 \prec \mathfrak{M}$ with $\mathfrak{M}_0 \cong \mathfrak{M}_1$, and an embedding $j \mapsto \hat{j}$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q})$ into $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{M})$, such that $\text{fix}(\hat{j}) = \mathfrak{M}_1$ for every fixed point free $j \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Automorphisms of Countable Recursively Saturated Models of PA (6)

- Suppose I is a proper cut of \mathfrak{M} . A subset X of M is I -coded in \mathfrak{M} , if for some $c \in M$, $X = \{(c)_i : i \in I\}$, and for all distinct i and j in I , $(c)_i \neq (c)_j$.
- I is I -coded in \mathfrak{M} .
- The collection of definable elements of \mathfrak{M} is \mathbb{N} -coded in \mathfrak{M} .
- *Theorem* Suppose $I \subseteq_{strong} \mathfrak{M}$, $\mathfrak{M}_0 \prec \mathfrak{M}$ and M_0 is I -coded in \mathfrak{M} . Then,
 - (a) There is an embedding $j \mapsto \hat{j}$ of $Aut(\mathbb{Q})$ into $Aut(\mathfrak{M})$ such that $\text{fix}(\hat{j}) = M_0$ for every fixed point free $j \in Aut(\mathbb{Q})$;
 - (b) Moreover, if j is expansive on \mathbb{Q} , then \hat{j} is expansive on $M \setminus \overline{M_0}$.

Automorphisms and Foundations (1)

- Strong foundational axiomatic systems can be characterized in terms of the fixed point sets of automorphisms of models of weak foundational systems.
- The above phenomenon sheds light on the close relationship between orthodox foundational systems, and the Quine-Jensen system NFU of set theory with a universal set.
- *Weak arithmetical system:*
 $I\text{-}\Delta_0$ (bounded arithmetic).
- *Strong arithmetical systems:*
 $I\Delta_0 + Exp + B\Sigma_1$,
 WKL_0^* ,
 PA ,
 ACA_0 ,
 $Z_2 + \Pi_\infty^1\text{-DC}$.

Automorphisms and Foundations (2)

- *Weak set theoretical system:* Set theories no stronger than KP (Kripke-Platek).

- *Strong set theoretical systems:*

KP^{Power} ,

$ZFC + \Phi$,

$GBC + \text{“Ord is w. compact”}$,

$KMC + \text{“Ord is w. compact”} + \Pi^1_\infty\text{-DC}$.

Automorphisms and Foundations (3)

- *Theorem (E)*. The following are equivalent for a model \mathfrak{M} of the language of arithmetic:

(a) $M = \text{fix}(j)$ for some $j \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{M}^*)$, where $\mathfrak{M} \subset_e \mathfrak{M}^* \models I\text{-}\Delta_0$.

(b) $\mathfrak{M} \models PA$.

- *Theorem (E)*. The following are equivalent for a model \mathfrak{M} of the language of arithmetic:

(a) $M = \text{I}_{\text{fix}}(j)$ for some $j \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{M}^*)$, where $\mathfrak{M} \subset_e \mathfrak{M}^* \models I\text{-}\Delta_0$.

(b) $\mathfrak{M} \models I\Delta_0 + \text{Exp} + B\Sigma_1$,

where $\text{Exp} := \forall x \exists y 2^x = y$, and $B\Sigma_1(\mathcal{L})$ is the scheme consisting of the universal closure of formulae of the form

$$[\forall x < a \exists y \overbrace{\varphi(x, y)}^{\Delta_0}] \rightarrow [\exists z \forall x < a \exists y < z \varphi(x, y)].$$

Automorphisms and Foundations (4)

- *Theorem (E)*. The following two conditions are equivalent for a countable model $(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{A})$ of the language of second order arithmetic:
 - (a) $\mathfrak{M} = I_{fix}(j)$ for some nontrivial $j \in Aut(\mathfrak{M}^*)$, $\mathfrak{M}^* \models I\Delta_0$ and $\mathcal{A} = SSy_M(\mathfrak{M}^*)$.
 - (b) $(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{A}) \models WKL_0^*$.
- WKL_0^* is a weakening of the well-known subsystem WKL_0 of second order arithmetic in which the Σ_1^0 -induction scheme is replaced by $I\Delta_0 + Exp$.
- WKL_0^* was introduced by Simpson and Smith who proved that $I\Delta_0 + Exp + B\Sigma_1$ is the first order part of WKL_0^* (in contrast to WKL_0 , whose first order part is $I\Sigma_1$).

Automorphisms and Foundations (5)

- Suppose $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}^* \models I\Delta_0$. An automorphism j of \mathfrak{M}^* is M -amenable if $M = \text{fix}(j)$, and for every formula $\varphi(x, j)$ in the language $\mathcal{L}_A \cup \{j\}$, possibly with suppressed parameters from M^* ,

$$\{m \in M : (\mathfrak{M}^*, j) \models \varphi(m, j)\} \in \text{SSy}_M(\mathfrak{M}^*).$$

- *Theorem (E).* If $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq_e \mathfrak{M}^* \models I\Delta_0$, and $j \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{M}^*)$ is M -amenable, then

$$(\mathfrak{M}^*, \text{SSy}_M(\mathfrak{M}^*)) \models Z_2.$$

Automorphisms and Foundations (6)

- *Theorem (E)*. Suppose $(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{A})$ is a countable model of $Z_2 + \Pi_\infty^1\text{-DC}$. There exists an e.e.e. \mathfrak{M}^* of \mathfrak{M} that has an M -amenable automorphism j such that $SSy_M(\mathfrak{M}^*) = \mathcal{A}$, where $\Pi_\infty^1\text{-DC}$ is the scheme of formulas of the form

$$\forall n \forall X \exists Y \theta(n, X, Y) \rightarrow$$

$$[\forall X \exists Z (X = (Z)_0 \text{ and } \forall n \theta(n, (Z)_n, (Z)_{n+1}))].$$

Automorphisms and Foundations (7)

- $EST(\mathcal{L})$ [Elementary Set Theory] is obtained from the usual axiomatization of $ZFC(\mathcal{L})$ by deleting Power Set and $\Sigma_\infty(\mathcal{L})$ -Replacement, and adding $\Delta_0(\mathcal{L})$ -Separation.
- GW [Global Well-ordering] is the axiom expressing “ \triangleleft well-orders the universe”.
- GW^* is the strengthening of GW obtained by adding the following two axioms to GW :
 - (a) $\forall x \forall y (x \in y \rightarrow x \triangleleft y)$;
 - (b) $\forall x \exists y \forall z (z \in y \longleftrightarrow z \triangleleft x)$.

Automorphisms and Foundations (8)

- $\Phi := \{\exists \kappa(\kappa \text{ is } n\text{-Mahlo and } V_\kappa \text{ is a } \Sigma_n\text{-elementary submodel of } \mathbf{V}) : n \in \omega\}$.

- *Theorem (E)*. The following are equivalent for a model \mathfrak{M} of the language $\mathcal{L} = \{\in, \triangleleft\}$.
 - (a) $M = \text{fix}(j)$ for some $j \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{M}^*)$, where $\mathfrak{M} \subset_{\triangleleft} \mathfrak{M}^* \models \text{EST}(\mathcal{L}) + \text{GW}^*$.
 - (b) $\mathfrak{M} \models \text{ZFC} + \Phi$.

$$\boxed{\frac{I-\Delta_0}{PA} \sim \frac{\text{EST}(\mathcal{L})+\text{GW}^*}{\text{ZFC}+\Phi}}$$