

# A GAME ON THE UNIVERSE OF SETS

DENIS I. SAVELIEV

Topic #1: *Nonstandard Theories and Models, and Foundations of Nonstandard Methods.*

Working in ZF without Regularity, we consider a two persons game on the universe of sets. In this game, the players choose in turn an element of a given set, an element of this element, etc.; a player *wins* if its adversary cannot make any following move, i.e. if he could choose the empty set. (The game, but not any our result, can be found in [1], where it is considered in NF.) A set is said to be *winning* if it has a winning strategy for some player. The class  $W$  of winning sets admits a natural hierarchy: Let a set be  $2\gamma$ -*winning* if every its element is  $2\delta + 1$ -winning for some  $\delta < \gamma$  and  $2\gamma + 1$ -*winning* if some of its elements is  $2\gamma$ -winning. Let  $W_\nu$  be the class of  $\nu$ -winning sets. Then  $W = \bigcup_\nu W_\nu$  and each level  $S_\nu = W_\nu - \bigcup_{\mu < \nu} W_\mu$  is nonempty. Let  $HW$  be the class of hereditarily winning sets and  $V_\infty$  the class of well-founded sets.

**Theorem 1.**  $HW$  is an inner model and  $HW \supseteq V_\infty$ . Moreover, each of four possible cases:  $V = HW = V_\infty$ ,  $V \neq HW = V_\infty$ ,  $V = HW \neq V_\infty$ , and  $V \neq HW \neq V_\infty$  is consistent.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $A$  be a class of ordinals. The assertion “ $S_\nu$  contains sets without  $\in$ -minimal elements iff  $\nu \in A$ ” is consistent iff either  $A$  is empty, or  $A = \{\nu > 1 : \nu \text{ is odd}\}$ , or else  $A = \{\nu > 1 : \nu \text{ is odd or } \nu \geq \mu\}$  for some  $\mu$  of cofinality  $\leq \omega$ .

For consistency results, we propose a new method for getting models with non-well-founded sets (different from the customary method of [2], [3]; see also [4]).

In conclusion, we consider the question how long can this game be in general case. Let  $\text{Pr}$  be a certain natural probability over the class  $V_\omega$  of hereditarily finite well-founded sets.

**Theorem 3.**

$$\text{Pr}(S_n \cap V_\omega) = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } n \in \{1, 3\}, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus for almost all elements of  $S_n \cap V_\omega$  the game ends either at 1 or at 3 moves, and so the first player wins almost always. Both last theorems display a difference between odd- and even-winning sets by showing that the latter are more complicated and more rare objects.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Thomas E. Forster. *Set theory with a universal set, exploring an untyped universe*. Oxford Univ. Press, NY, 1995 (2nd ed.). [2] Peter Aczel. *Non-well-founded sets*. CSLI, Lecture Notes, 14, Stanford, Calif., 1988. [3] Giovanna D’Agostino. *Modal logic and non-well-founded set theory: translation, bisimulation, interpolation*. ILLC, Diss. Ser., 4 (1998). [4] Denis I. Saveliev. *Representations of classes by sets, reflection principles, and other consequences of axioms concerning well-founded relations*. To appear.

INSTITUTE FOR SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION, RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCE.  
E-mail address: denissaveliev@mail.ru