

Reference: lectures 1 & 2

► In the next exercises  $Y$  is a metric space (with distance  $d$ ).

### Ex. 1

The following are equivalent:

- (a)  $Y$  is totally bounded (that is, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$   $Y$  can be covered by finitely many (closed) balls with radius  $\leq \varepsilon$ );
- (b)  $Y$  is the limit (in Hausdorff distance  $d_H$ ) of a sequence of finite sets.

In particular every compact metric space  $Y$  is the limit of a sequence of finite sets.

### Ex. 2

Let

$$\mathcal{E}(Y) := \{C \subset Y \text{ st. } C \text{ is closed, } C \neq \emptyset\}$$

be endowed with the Hausdorff distance  $d_H$ . Prove that:

- (a) if  $Y$  is complete so is  $\mathcal{E}(Y)$ ;
- (b) if  $Y$  is totally bounded so is  $\mathcal{E}(Y)$ ;
- (c) if  $Y$  is compact so is  $\mathcal{E}(Y)$ ;
- (d)  $\{C \in \mathcal{E}(Y) : C \text{ compact}\}$  is closed in  $\mathcal{E}(Y)$ ;
- (e)  $\{C \in \mathcal{E}(Y) : C \text{ connected \& compact}\}$  is closed in  $\mathcal{E}(Y)$ ;
- (f)  $\{C \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n) : C \text{ connected}\}$  is **not** closed in  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Recall that a **path** in  $Y$  is a continuous map  $\gamma: I \rightarrow Y$  and  $L(\gamma)$  denotes the length of  $\gamma$ .

$I$   
closed interval

### Ex. 3

Prove that :

(a)  $\gamma \mapsto L(\gamma)$  is lower semicontinuous w.r.t. **pointwise** convergence;

(b) in general  $\gamma \mapsto L(\gamma)$  is **not** continuous on the space

$X := \{\gamma \text{ s.t. } \text{Lip}(\gamma) \leq m\}$  endowed with uniform distance  
that is,  $d_X(\gamma_0, \gamma_1) := \sup_{t \in I} d(\gamma_0(t), \gamma_1(t))$ .

Let  $\gamma: I \rightarrow Y$  be a path in  $Y$ , and for every closed interval  $J \subset I$  let  $L(\gamma, J)$  be the length of the restriction of  $\gamma$  to  $J$ .

We say that  $\gamma$  has **constant speed  $v$**  if  $L(\gamma, J) = v \cdot \text{length}(J)$  for every  $J \subset I$ .

### Ex. 4

Given  $\gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow Y$ , prove that  $L(\gamma) \leq \text{Lip}(\gamma)$  and if  $L(\gamma) < +\infty$  then equality holds iff  $\gamma$  has constant speed.

### Ex. 5

Let  $\gamma: \underbrace{[0,1]}_{ii} \rightarrow Y$  be a path with  $L(\gamma) < +\infty$  and assume that  $\gamma$  is not constant on any subinterval of  $I$ .

Then there exists a reparametrization  $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \circ \varsigma$  such that :

(a)  $\varsigma: I \rightarrow I$  is an increasing homeomorphism;

(b)  $\tilde{\gamma}$  has constant speed  $v = L(\gamma)$ . In particular  $L(\tilde{\gamma}) = \text{Lip}(\tilde{\gamma})$ .

Hint: let  $\varsigma$  be the inverse of  $\ell: I \rightarrow I$ ,  $\ell(t) := \frac{L(\gamma, [0,t])}{L(\gamma)}$ .  
(You must prove that  $\ell$  is an increasing homeom.)

### Ex. 6

Let  $\gamma: \underline{[0,1]}^{\overline{I}} \rightarrow Y$  be a non-constant path with  $L(\gamma) < +\infty$ . Then there exists a reparametrization  $\tilde{\gamma} := \gamma \circ \varsigma$  such that:

- (a)  $\varsigma: I \rightarrow I$  is strictly increasing and  $\varsigma(0) = 0, \varsigma(1) = 1$ ;
- (b)  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is continuous,  $\tilde{\gamma}(I) = \gamma(I)$ ,  $L(\tilde{\gamma}) = L(\gamma)$ ;
- (c)  $\tilde{\gamma}$  has constant speed  $V = L(\gamma)$ .

Hint: in this case the function  $\ell$  from previous exercise is still increasing but possibly not strictly, and therefore may be not invertible. However some sort of inverse of  $\ell$  works.

### Ex. 7

Let  $\gamma: \underline{[0,1]}^{\overline{I}} \rightarrow Y$  be a path with  $L(\gamma) < +\infty$  and fix  $\delta > 0$ . Then there exists a reparametrization  $\tilde{\gamma} := \gamma \circ \varsigma$  such that:

- (a)  $\varsigma: I \rightarrow I$  is an increasing homeomorphism;
- (b)  $\text{Lip}(\tilde{\gamma}) \leq L(\gamma) + \delta$ .

Hint: let  $\varsigma$  be the inverse of  $\ell_\delta: I \rightarrow I$ ,  $\ell_\delta(t) := \frac{L(\gamma, [0,t]) + \delta t}{L(\gamma) + \delta}$ .

### Ex. 8

Given  $x_0, x_1 \in Y$  with  $x_0 \neq x_1$ , define

$$X := \left\{ \gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow Y \text{ s.t. } \gamma \text{ continuous, } \gamma(0) = x_0, \gamma(1) = x_1 \right\};$$

$$(*) \quad L_{\min} := \inf \left\{ L(\gamma) : \gamma \in X \right\},$$

and assume that  $L_{\min} < +\infty$ . Prove that:

- (a) if  $Y$  is **compact** the infimum in  $(*)$  is attained;  
(complete the sketch of proof given in Lecture 1, § 1.2.3)

- (b) if  $Y$  is locally compact the infimum in  $(*)$  may be not attained;
- (c) if the ball  $\overline{B(x_0, L_m)}$  is compact the infimum in  $(*)$  is attained;
- (d) if the infimum in  $(*)$  is attained then every minimizer  $\gamma$  has the following property : if  $\gamma(t_0) = \gamma(t_1)$  for some  $t_0 < t_1$  then  $\gamma$  is constant on  $[t_0, t_1]$ ;
- (e) if the infimum in  $(*)$  is attained then there exists a minimizer  $\gamma$  which is injective.

### Ex. 9

Take  $X, L_{\min}$  as in Ex. 6, assume that  $L_{\min} < +\infty$  and let

$$(**) \quad \tilde{L}_{\min} := \inf \left\{ \text{Lip}(\gamma) : \gamma \in X \right\}$$

Prove that :

- (a)  $\tilde{L}_{\min} = L_{\min}$  ;
- (b) every minimizer  $\gamma$  of  $(**)$  is also a minimizer of  $(*)$  and has constant speed ;
- (c) if  $Y$  is compact the infimum in  $(**)$  is attained.

A network  $N$  in  $Y$  is a finite collection of paths  $\gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow Y$  such that the set

$$S(N) := \bigcup_{\gamma \in N} \gamma(I) \quad \xleftarrow{\text{support of } N}$$

is connected ; for such  $N$  we define

$$\underbrace{\text{Lip}(N)}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Lipschitz const. of } N}} := \sup_{\gamma \in N} \text{Lip}(\gamma) ; \quad \underbrace{L(N)}_{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{length of } N}} := \sum_{\gamma \in N} L(\gamma).$$

### Ex. 10

Given a finite set  $\Gamma \subset Y$  define

$$(*) \quad L_{\min} := \inf \left\{ L(N) : N \text{ s.t. } S(N) \supset \Gamma \right\}.$$

Assume that  $Y$  is compact and  $L_{\min} < +\infty$ .

Prove that this infimum in  $(*)$  is attained.

Hint: prove that, given a network  $N$  s.t.  $S(N) \supset \Gamma$ , there exists a network  $\tilde{N}$  s.t.  $S(N) \supset S(\tilde{N}) \supset \Gamma$ ,  $L(\tilde{N}) \leq L(N)$ , and  $\#N \leq \#\Gamma - 1$ . Then prove that  $L_{\min}$  is equal to

$$\tilde{L}_{\min} := \inf \left\{ L(N) : \begin{array}{l} N \text{ s.t. } S(N) \supset \Gamma, \#N \leq \#\Gamma - 1 \\ \text{Lip}(N) \leq L_{\min} + 1 \end{array} \right\},$$

and that this infimum is attained.

### Ex. 11

Prove that there exists a minimizer  $N_0$  of  $(*)$  in Ex. 10 such that each  $\gamma \in N_0$  is injective.

Moreover, given  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 \in N_0$ ,  $\gamma_0 \neq \gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_0(I) \cap \gamma_1(I)$  contains at most 1 point.

Ex. 10 and 11 show that the minimization of  $L(N)$  among all networks  $N$  such that  $S(N)$  contains  $\Gamma$  leads to a satisfactory variant of Steiner problem.

One can show that this variant is completely equivalent to the one described in Lecture 1, § 1.2.2.

Note that for existence it is essential that  $\Gamma$  is finite.

In the next exercises  $Y$  is an  $n$ -dimensional surface of class  $C^1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , possibly with boundary.

Some exercises require some knowledge of the theory of Sobolev Spaces and Calculus of Variations.

### Ex. 12

Assume that  $\gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous path in the Sobolev class  $W^{1,1}$  (as a function with values in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ )

Prove that

$$L(\gamma) = \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}(t)| dt .$$

The inequality  $\leq$  is easy. The proof of  $\leq$  is quite delicate: the one I know uses the points of  $L^1$ -approximate continuity of  $\dot{\gamma}$  and a covering argument.

### Ex. 13

Fix  $x_0, x_1 \in Y$  with  $x_0 \neq x_1$ , and define

$$X_p := \left\{ \gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow Y \text{ s.t. } \begin{array}{l} \gamma \text{ is contin. and } W^{1,p} \\ \gamma(0) = x_0, \gamma(1) = x_1 \end{array} \right\} .$$

Prove that if  $Y$  is compact then  $\|\dot{\gamma}\|_p$  admits a minimizer over all  $\gamma \in X_p$ .

### Ex. 14

Following the scheme outlined in Lecture 1, § 1.2.3, prove that a minimizer  $\gamma_0$  of  $\|\dot{\gamma}\|_p$  on  $X_p$  is also a minimizer of  $\|\dot{\gamma}\|_1$  (i.e., the length of  $\gamma$ ) on  $X_1$ , and that  $\gamma_0$  has constant speed and more precisely  $|\dot{\gamma}(t)| = \|\dot{\gamma}\|_1$  for a.e.  $t \in [0,1]$ .

Recall that a linear map  $T: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is **conformal** if  $T = \Lambda R$  where  $\Lambda$  is a dilation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (i.e.,  $\Lambda: x \mapsto \lambda x$  with  $\lambda > 0$ ) and  $R: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a linear isometry (i.e.,  $|Rx| = |x| \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ).

In other words, a matrix  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  is conformal if  $M = \lambda R$  with  $\lambda > 0$  and  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  s.t.  $R^t R = I_d \leftarrow d \times d$  identity matrix.

For  $d=n=2$ , conformal matrices are

$$\mathcal{M} := \underbrace{\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}}_{\mathcal{M}^+} \cup \underbrace{\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & -a \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}}_{\mathcal{M}^-}$$

### Ex. 15

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be a map of class  $C^1$ . Then

 We identify  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{C}$

- (a) if  $f$  is holomorphic or anti-holomorphic then  $f$  is conformal;
- (b) if  $f$  is conformal,  $\Omega$  is connected and  $\nabla f \neq 0$  on  $\Omega$ , then  $f$  is either holomorphic or anti-holomorphic.
- (c) Does the conclusion in (b) hold without assuming  $\nabla f \neq 0$ ?

The answer to (c) is positive at least for  $f$  of class  $C^1 \cap W_{loc}^{2,1}$ .

Hint:

Set  $A^0 := \{x \in \Omega : \nabla f(x) = 0\}$  and  $A^\pm := \{x \in \Omega \setminus A^0 : \nabla f(x) \in \mathcal{M}^\pm\}$ , and prove that the function  $g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  given by

$$g(x) := \begin{cases} \overline{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x)} & \text{if } x \in A^- \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in A^0 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) & \text{if } x \in A^+ \end{cases}$$

is holomorphic. [Here I need  $g \in W_{loc}^{1,1}$ , which I prove using the assumption  $f \in W_{loc}^{2,1}$ .]

Now there are now two possibilities:

- 1)  $A^0 = \bar{g}'(0)$  has an accumulation point in  $\Omega$ , then  $g=0$  on  $\Omega$  (because  $g$  is holom. and  $\Omega$  is connected) and  $f$  is constant (in part.  $f$  is hol.).
- 2)  $A^0$  has no accumulation points in  $\Omega$ , then  $A^+$  or  $A^-$  is empty (\*),  
and then  $f$  is antiholomorphic or holomorphic;

Given  $A^-, A^+$  open disjoint, nonempty subsets of a connected open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , then  $\Omega \setminus (A^+ \cup A^-)$  cannot be discrete.

Ex. 16

Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  with  $1 \leq d \leq n$ . Then

$$\det(M^t M) \leq \frac{|M|^{2d}}{d^d} \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} |M| = \text{Frobenius norm of } M \\ := \left( \sum_{ij} M_{ij}^2 \right)^{1/2} = \text{tr}(M^t M). \end{array} \right.$$

and equality holds iff  $M$  is conformal.

Hint: use the singular value decomposition  $M = R \Lambda \tilde{R}$  where  $\tilde{R} \in \text{SO}(d)$ ,  $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is diagonal with positive entries,  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  satisfies  $R^t R = I_d$ .

Ex. 17

For  $1 \leq d \leq n$ , let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  a map of class  $C^1$ . Prove that

$$\xrightarrow[\text{functional}]{\text{area}} \int_{\Omega} J\phi \, dx \leq \frac{1}{d^{d/2}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^d \, dx,$$

and equality holds iff  $\phi$  is conformal.

This statement generalizes the inequality  $F(\phi) \leq E(\phi)$  proved (only for  $n=3$ ) in Lecture 1, § 1.2.3.

### Ex. 18

Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{2m \times 2}$  and for every  $j=1, \dots, m$  let  $M_j$  be the  $2 \times 2$  minor formed by the rows  $2j-1, 2j$ . Then

$$(a) \det(M^t M) \geq (\sum_{j=1}^m \det M_j)^2;$$

$$(b) \text{ equality holds in (a) if } M_j \in M^+ := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\};$$

(c) equality holds in (a) iff the columns of  $M$  span a complex subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ , which is identified with  $\mathbb{C}^m$  by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{2m}) \simeq (x_1 + ix_2, x_3 + ix_4, \dots, x_{2m-1} + ix_{2m}).$$

Hint for (a): set  $\phi(M) := \det(M^t M)$ ;  $\tilde{\phi}(M) := (\sum \det M_j)^2$  and notice that  $\phi(M) = \phi(RM)$  for every  $R \in O(2m)$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}(M) = \tilde{\phi}(RM)$  for every  $R$  of the form

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & R_m \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } R_1, \dots, R_m \in SO(2).$$

Then show that it suffices to prove (a) when all minors  $M_j$  are upper triangular ...

▷ Inequality (a) is a simple case of Wirtinger inequality.

### Ex. 19

Let  $D$  be a closed domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with boundary of class  $C^1$ , and let  $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be a map of class  $C^1$ .

Then

$$\int_D \det(\nabla f) dx = \int_{\partial D} f_1 \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z} dt' \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{length measure} \\ \text{on } \partial D \end{array}$$

↑ oriented counter-clockwise

↑ tangential derivative of  $f_2$

Thus  $\int_D \det(\nabla f) dx$  depends only on the restriction of  $f$  to  $\partial D$ .

### Ex. 20

Let  $D$  be as in Ex. 19, and let  $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2m}$  be a map of class  $C^1$ . Then

$$\int_D Jf \, dx \geq \left| \int_{\partial D} \sum_{j=1}^m f_{zj-1} \frac{\partial f_{2j}}{\partial z} \, d\mathcal{H} \right|,$$

and equality holds if  $f$  is holomorphic in the interior of  $D$ .  
(We identify  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$  with  $\mathbb{C}^m$  as in Ex. 18.)

Hint: use Ex. 18 and Ex. 19.

### Ex. 21

Let  $D$  be as in Ex. 19, let  $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2m} \simeq \mathbb{C}^m$  be an injective map of class  $C^1$  which is holomorphic in the interior of  $D$ , and set

$$\Pi := f(\partial D), \quad \Sigma := f(D).$$

Then

$$\text{area}(\Sigma) \leq \text{area}(\tilde{\Sigma})$$

for every surface  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  of class  $C^1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$  parametrized by  $D$  and with boundary  $\Pi$ .

This exercise gives a partial proof (for  $d=2$ ) of the claim in Example 3, § 1.3, Lecture 2.

### Ex. 22

For every  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , let  $p_j : \mathbb{R}^{2m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be the projection given by

$$p_j(x_1, \dots, x_{2m}) := (x_{2j-1}, x_{2j}).$$

Let  $V$  be a 2-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ , and let  $\Sigma$  be a bounded Borel set in  $V$ . Then

$$\text{area}(\Sigma) \geq \sum_{j=1}^m \text{area}(p_j(\Sigma)),$$

and equality holds iff  $V$  is a complex subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{2m} \simeq \mathbb{C}^m$ .  
(We identify  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$  with  $\mathbb{C}^m$  as in Ex. 18.)

Hint: parametrize  $V$  by a linear map  $x \mapsto Mx$  and use Ex. 18.

### Ex. 23

Let  $\Sigma$  be a 2-dimensional compact surface of class  $C^1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$  (possibly with boundary, and possibly not orientable), and for  $j=1, \dots, m$  take  $p_j$  as in Ex. 22. Prove that

$$(a) \text{area}(\Sigma) \geq \sum_{j=1}^m \text{area}(p_j(\Sigma));$$

(b) equality holds in (a) iff the tangent planes to  $\Sigma$  are complex subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^{2m} \simeq \mathbb{C}^m$ ;

$$(c) \text{area}(\Sigma) \geq \sum_{j=1}^m \text{area}(\Sigma_j) \text{ where}$$

$$\Sigma_j := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ s.t. } y \notin \pi_j(\partial\Sigma) \text{ and } \deg_2(\Sigma, p_j, y) = 1 \right\}.$$

$\downarrow$   
degree modulo 2 at  $y$  of  
(the restriction of)  $p_j$  to  $\Sigma$

Hint: use Ex. 22.

### Ex. 24

In  $\mathbb{R}^4 \simeq \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$  define

$$\Gamma := (S^1 \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times S^1), \quad \Sigma_0 := (D^2 \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times D^2),$$

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x|=1\}$$

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| \leq 1\}$$

and let  $\Sigma$  be a 2-dimensional compact (possibly not orientable) surface of class  $C^1$  with  $\partial\Sigma = \Gamma$ . Then

$$\text{area}(\Sigma) \geq 2\pi = \text{area}(\Sigma_0).$$

(Compare with the claim in Example 1 in § 1.3, Lecture 2.)

Hint: use statement (c) in Ex. 23 and degree theory to prove that  $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2 = D^2$ .